

Assessment of Industrial Internship's Instruments for Students of Machine Engineering Education

*¹Yohanis Rambo, ²Benyamin Lembong Tampang, ³Djami Olii

ABSTRACT--Every student who is majoring in Mechanical Engineering Education (MEE) at the Faculty of Engineering, Manado State University has to study both theoretical and practical aspects. In the curriculum, students are required to follow the practice of the course must also follow practical activities in the industry. Practical activities need to know the competencies obtained by students while practicing in the industry. The research objective is to design instruments to measure student practical activities in the industry. In this study using the research and development (R&D) method of the Borg & Gall model. The findings obtained in this study are: (1) the developed instrument products meet the validity requirements, (2) the instrument developed is very practical and effectively used to measure the practical activities of students majoring in MEE in the industry.

Keywords-- Assessment, Instruments, Industrial, Practice, Mechanical, Engineering.

I. INTRODUCTION

The development in a state depends on human resource quality (SDM) proprietary. Qualified human resources depending on the education process. One indicator of qualified human resources is labor. To anticipate that, the factor will press the unemployment rate. Indonesian unemployment is in the 3rd rank of 10 states in South-east Asia [1], the unemployment rate is below average (TKT) up to 5.13% [2]. It means SDM labor is still relatively low compared to other states in South-east Asia. Indonesia currently preparing human resources who can follow technology development to they can compete by extern the world in particular south-east Asia states [3]. A variety strategy is done by the college through study programs resulted from grad that has particular competence and direct works and industry of the world amongst those study programs PTM Manado State University

In collaboration with industry so college student was able to do an internship job at industrial or field Industrial practice (FIP). It means, FIP is not only implemented by Vocational High School (SMK) but also executed by many faculties at college, amongst those are faculty of engineering and faculty economic. Based on the pre-survey, the instrument to measure FIP'S activity was not available. It is hard to know PTM'S college student interest up to perform FIP'S activity. In learning, three domains should be measured, which are cognitive concern mental skill, affective which is feeling and emotion, and psycho motoric skill [4–6]

¹ *Department of Electrical Engineering Education, Universitas Negeri Manado, Minahasa, PO Box. 95618 North Sulawesi, Indonesia, yrambo524@gmail.com.

² Department of Electrical Engineering Education, Universitas Negeri Manado, Minahasa, PO Box. 95618 North Sulawesi, Indonesia.

³ Department of Electrical Engineering Education, Universitas Negeri Manado, Minahasa, PO Box. 95618 North Sulawesi, Indonesia.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

FIP constitutes a double system education program (PSG) which is a program with among education institution with industry as executor that functioning as an entrepreneurship world or industry [7]. SPG is a realization of Link and Match's policy [8]. SPG is industry constitutes of internship place for a student [9]. According to [10] the aim of SPG is basically internship industry job part of PSG, It means, aim from industry practical job equals to the aim from PSG which is (1) result professional labor who have professional membership, (2) strengthens link and match among school with job the world, (3) increase education process efficiencies and qualified labor training professional, and (4) give confessions and appreciations to qualified job experience professional.

FIP is a part of education progress that works directly in the industrial world to get professional skills [11] To get a particular professional level, professional's education institutions shall fuse systematic with industrial which program is directed [12]. The aim of FIP which is to equip participant and taught about skill and forms appropriate attitude by learned at the industry's the world [10]. FIP activities are carried out by students from small, medium and large scale industries. The process of implementing these practical activities must be planned according to learning relevant to the curriculum [13]. Before implementing FIP, schools and industries need to discuss activities that will be carried out through meetings, especially discussing academic schedules [14].

FIP activities for students will gain direct experience. Learning is best through direct experience, in addition to students observing directly they can appreciate and be directly involved in working and responsible for what they do [15]. The implementation of FIP activities is very helpful for students to strengthen learning outcomes obtained through educational institutions and provide provisions for them about real experiences that are relevant to their study programs [7]. The same statement was stated by [16], the implementation of FIP, namely the theory obtained at school will be applied in the form of practice through real experience in the industry. Experience is an activity that has been done with real activities through deeds [17]. Experience gained from the world of work is needed after students graduate. Because experience is the knowledge and skills gained from practical activities outside of school [18].

Some of the benefits of implementing FIP, work attitudes can develop, competencies will not be obtained from formal education (schools), students will contribute to the workforce in the industry, students will be motivated and foster a work ethic, will strengthen industrial cooperation with educational institutions, industry will provide assistance, graduates of educational institutions have the opportunity to get a promotion, and so on [19]. The supervisor should conduct orientation in the industry before students implementing FIP activities [20]. This relates to the evaluation that will be conducted by lecturers. Each tutor should monitor and pay attention to all aspects of student activities at the location [7]. For the smooth implementation of FIP, one thing that must be done is evaluation [21].

Industrial work practices (PRAKERIN) programs that have been carried out by students need to be evaluated to see the compatibility between the program and its implementation. This is intended as a basis for the preparation of a follow-up program that must be carried out both towards the achievement of students' competencies and towards the internship program [7]. Evaluation is very important to determine whether an activity is successful or not including learning activities. The results of the evaluation will be used as a reference for making policies or

decisions. Evaluation is inseparable from measurement and assessment. Before evaluating, the first thing to do is to measure using a measuring instrument. The results of this measurement will be obtained values in quantitative form. This value is often called data and then analyzed. The results of the analysis serve as a reference for evaluation [22, 23]. Evaluation is an activity of gathering information about the workings of individuals and this information is used for decision making, whereas according to a systematic process for collecting, analyzing, and interpreting the information to determine the extent to which learning objectives have been achieved by students.

Measurement is defined as an activity carried out to provide numbers for a symptom, event, or object so that the measurement results will always be in the form of numbers. In the learning process teachers also take measurements of the process and the results in the form of figures that reflect the achievements and processes or learning outcomes. According to [24] measurement is a process or activity to determine the quantity of something. In the measurement process, of course, the teacher must use a measuring instrument. Quantitative measurements include measuring the progress of student learning [25].

Evaluation is defined as the process of determining the value of an object. To obtain a value, it is necessary to have a measure or criteria. Assessment is the process of gathering and processing information to determine the achievement of student learning outcomes [26]. Based on the above study, the evaluation, measurement, and assessment are related to one another. In this case, an evaluation must be carried out first, namely measuring and from the measurement results obtained values. The values obtained are then analyzed, the results of the analysis will be used as evaluation material. To do it is necessary to have a measuring instrument. In this research, a measurement tool will be designed to measure industrial work practices by PTM students.

III. METHODOLOGY/MATERIALS

This study used a research and development (R&D) method of the ADDIE (Analysis-Design-Develop-Implement-Evaluation) model developed by Dick and Carry (1996), namely:

- Analysis, including conducting a pre-survey in the industry to identify problems and determine what aspects will be measured followed by a literature study related to measuring instruments;
- Design, this stage is to determine what indicators are by the product to be developed. In this activity design indicators based on problems and literature studies;
- Develop, which is a stage for product development. Items refer to indicators, then determine the scale of assessment. This scale is very important with the aim of making measurements then quantitative data will be obtained. The scale used for validation is used the Liker scale, which is very good (4), good (3), enough (2) and not good (1). The results of this development will be obtained by measuring instrument product models. This product cannot be used because it is not yet known whether this measuring device meets scientific standards. According to [24], the measuring instrument must be standard, which has a high degree of validity [24]. To find out the level of validity of the measuring instrument, at the early stages, validity will be carried out by 3 people, namely 1 validator in the field of measurement and evaluation, from PTM lecturers and 1 from the industry. The formula to calculate the validation coefficient uses equation 1.

$$C_v = \Sigma V / N \quad [1]$$

Where: C_v = coefficient of validity, ΣV = total validator value, and N = total evaluators.

To determine the level of product validity, see table 1.

Table 1: Validity Rate

Range	Note
$C_v > 3.4$	Very valid
$3.0 < C_v \leq 3.4$	Valid
$2.6 < C_v \leq 2.9$	Less valid
$C_v \leq 2.5$	Not valid

4) Implementation, after the product meets the validity requirements, this stage conducts a trial in order to obtain the practicality and effectiveness of the product used to measure student activities in industrial work practices. To measure the severity and effectiveness, a Likert scale is used which is very practical-effective (4), practical-effective (3), less practical-effective (2) and not practical-effective (1). Product practicality coefficient, used equation 2.

$$C_p = \Sigma P / N \quad [2]$$

Where: C_p = practicality coefficient, ΣP = total value given by the assessor, and N = total evaluators.

To determine the practicality of the product is determined in table 2.

Table 2: Practicality levels of products

Range	Note
$C_p > 3.4$	Very Practical
$3.0 < C_p \leq 3.4$	Practical
$2.6 < C_p \leq 2.9$	Less practical
$C_p \leq 2.5$	Not Practical

Whereas to find out the product effectiveness coefficient equation 3 is used.

$$C_e = \Sigma E / N \quad [3]$$

Where: C_e = effectiveness coefficient, ΣE = total value given by the evaluator, and N = total evaluators.

The effectiveness level of the product is shown in table 3.

Table 3: Product effectiveness levels

Range	Note
$C_E > 3.4$	Very Practical
$3.0 < C_E \leq 3,4$	Practical
$2.6 < C_E \leq 2.9$	Less Practical
$C_E \leq 2.5$	Not

4) Evaluation, after testing the product the final stage evaluates the product by making product improvements.

Based on the results shows that the design of the assessment instruments meets the requirements of validity, practicality, and effectiveness. The importance of this assessment instrument can be used for data collection to evaluate the competency of student practice skills [Ponto, Tasiam & Wonggo, 2018).

IV. RESULTS AND FINDINGS

The validation data are presented in table 4.

Table 4: Data validation.

Validator	Score
1	4
2	4
3	4
Total score	12

Data in table 4 were analyzed using formula 1. The results of the analysis were obtained $C_v = 4$. From table 1 shows that $C_v = 4 > 3.4$. This means that the developed product is classified as very valid. This product can be continued at the next level, namely practicality and effectiveness trials. For the trial, 6 assessors were involved, namely 3 industry people and others came from PTM lecturers at Manado State University.

Practicality trial data is shown in table 5.

Table5: Validation data

Validator	Score
1	4

2	4
3	3
4	4
5	4
6	4
Total score	23

After the data in table 5 are analyzed using the formula 2. The results of the analysis are obtained $C_P = 4$. From table 3 shows that the score $C_P = 3.8 > 3.5$. This means that the developed products are classified as very practical. This instrument is very practical to be used as a measuring tool in measuring student activities at FIP. The following will be analyzed the effectiveness of the product. The data used for analysis are presented in table

Table 6: Validation data

Validator	Score
1	4
2	3
3	4
4	4
5	4
6	3
Total score	22

By using formula 3, the score $C_E = 3.7$. This score is at $C_P = 3.7 > 3.5$. the results of data analysis show that this instrument is effective for measuring student practice activities at FIP.

Based on the result shows that the design of the assessment instruments meet the requirements of validity, practicality, and the effectiveness. The importance of this assessment instrument can be used for data collections to evaluate the competency of students practice skills [27]

V. CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the study, it can be concluded as follows:

1. This instrument meets the validity requirements, which means it is accurate to be used to measure practical activities;
2. The product of the development is very practical to measure student activities in FIP; and

3. The instrument product developed is very effective for measuring student activities at FIP.

REFERENCES

1. Dkatadata. (2010). Pengangguran Indonesia tertinggi ke 3 di Asia Tenggara. Available at: <https://databoks.katadata.co.id/>.
2. BPS. (2018). Tingkat Pengangguran Terbuka. Available at: <https://www.bps.go.id/press/>.
3. Hasanah, Syahrul, & Merdekawati E. (2016). Efektivitas pelaksanaan program praktek kerja industry di sekolah menengah kejuruan. *J Mekom* 3(2):158–166.
4. Bloom BS, Engelhart MD, Furst EJ, Hill WH, Krathwohl DR. (1956). Taxonomy of educational objectives: the classification of educational goals: handbook I: cognitive domain (D. Mckay, New York, USA).
5. Anderson, L. W., & Anderson JC. (1982). Affective Assessment Is Necessary and Possible. *Educ Leadersh* 39:524–526.
6. Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl DR. (1981). A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Education Objectives (Longman, New York).
7. Susana N. (2015). Pengelolaan praktek kerja industri. *Manajer Pendidik* 10(6):579–587.
8. Stevani. (2015). Pengaruh praktek kerja industri (prakerin) dan keterampilan siswa terhadap kesiapan memasuki dunia kerja siswa Administrasi Perkantoran SMK N 3 Padang. *J Econ Educ* 3(2):185–195.
9. Wena M. (1996). Pendidikan Sistem Ganda (Tarsito, Bandung).
10. Sulistyowati R. (2014). Pengaruh pembelajaran kewirausahaan dan praktik kerja industry (prakerin) terhadap sikap kewirausahaan siswa SMK Negeri di Surabaya. *J Ekon Pendidik dan Kewirausahaan* 2(1):85–101.
11. Djojonegoro W. (1998). Pengembangan Sumber Daya Manusia Melalui SMK. Jakarta: Jayakarta Agung Offset (Jayakarta Agung Offset, Jakarta).
12. Anwar. (2004). Pendidikan Kecakapan Hidup Konsep dan Aplikasi (Alfabeta, Bandung).
13. Sanjaya W. (2006). Strategi Pembelajaran Berorientasi Standar Proses Pendidikan (Kencana Prenada Media, Jakarta).
14. Faizal, A. N., Burhanuddin & S. (2018). Manajemen praktik kerja industri. *J Adm dan Manaj Pendidik* 1(2):139–149.
15. Arsyad A. (2000). Media Pengajaran (PT. Raja Grafindo Persada, Jakarta).
16. Kartikawati, S., & Robianto RF. (2016). Pengaruh pelaksanaan praktek industri terhadap motivasi belajar siswa kelas XI IL SMK N 1 Wonosari. *J Pendidik Tek Elektro* 1(1):26–34.
17. Siregar, R. F., & Tambunan BH. (2017). Hubungan pengalaman praktik kerja laangan industry dengan kesiapan kerja siswa sesuai standar kompetensi kerja nasional Indonesia kelas XII paket keahlian Teknik kendaraan ringan SMK Negeri 2 Medan T.A 2016/2017. *J Teknol dan Kejuru* 29(1):32–38.
18. Chalpin P. (2006). Kamus Lengkap Psikologi (Terjemahan Kartini Kartono) (PT Raja Grafindo Persada, Jakarta).
19. Mawaddah S, Maryanti R. (2016). Kemampuan Pemahaman Konsep Matematis Siswa SMP dalam Pembelajaran Menggunakan Model Penemuan Terbimbing (Discovery Learning). *J Pendidik Mat* 4(1):76–85.
20. Susena. (2005). Pengembangan Kualifikasi Dosen Program Diploma Berbasis Kompetensi. Peningkatan

Karier Dosen Di Pusat Pengembangan Pendidikan Program Diploma (Bandung).

21. Hamalik O. (2007). Manajemen Pelatihan Ketanagakerjaan Pendekatan Terpadu. Jakarta: PT Bumi Aksara (PT Bumi Aksara, Jakarta).
22. Ajayi VO. (2018). Difference between Assessment, Measurement, and Evaluation in Science Education (Benue State University, Nigeria, Makurdi).
23. Ponto H. (2016). Evaluasi Pembelajaran Pendidikan Kejuruan (Deepublish, Yogyakarta).
24. Arifin Z. (2013). Evaluasi Pembelajaran (Rosdakarya, Bandung).
25. Sudijono A. (2011). Pengantar Evaluasi Pendidikan. Jakarta: RajaGrafindo Persada. (Raja Grafindo Persada, Jakarta).
26. Saputra, L. S., Salikun, & Nugroho W. (2014). Buku Pegangan Guru PKN Kelas VIII SMP/MTS: Bu ku Kurikulum 2013. Jakarta: Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan (Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan, Jakarta).
27. Ponto H, Tasiam FJ, Wonggo D. (2018). Designing affective domain evaluation instrument for basics Electrical Subject in Vocational High School. Int J Eng Technol 7(25):395–398.