

# EVALUATION OF ORAL HEALTH STATUS BASED ON ORAL HYGIENE PRACTICES IN CHILDREN-A RETROSPECTIVE STUDY

Nor Syakirah binti Shahroom<sup>1</sup>, Jessy,Iffat Nasim<sup>2</sup>

## Abstract

*Good oral hygiene practices are recommended by the dentist to prevent oral diseases such as dental caries and periodontal diseases. Brushing twice daily with fluoridated toothpaste is the primary preventive method to maintain good oral hygiene and found to be the most effective hygiene practice. A retrospective study was conducted to assess the association between oral hygiene and the frequency of tooth brushing among children visiting a dental hospital. 531 participants between 7-12 years of age were selected randomly for this study. Data variables including socio-demographic data such as age and gender, oral hygiene practices including frequency of brushing and type of toothpaste used were recorded. Oral hygiene index-simplified (OHI-S) were also recorded. All the data were analyzed using the Chi-Square test. The study revealed that those who brushed twice daily had a lower OHI-S score which was statistically significant with  $p < 0.05$ . A statistically significant difference was found between the type of toothpaste and OHI-S score with  $p < 0.05$ . The children who brushed using fluoridated toothpaste had lower OHI-S scores. There was also a statistically significant difference between gender and frequency of brushing with  $p < 0.05$  in which females had good oral hygiene practice compared to males. Thus, it can be concluded that there was a significant association between oral hygiene and the frequency of tooth brushing. Brushing twice daily with fluoridated toothpaste is proven to improve oral health.*

**Keywords:** Calculus score; Debris score; Duration; Frequency of toothbrushing; Fluoridated toothpaste; Oral hygiene.

## Introduction

The oral cavity has been described as the window to general health which means there is an association between oral health and general health [1]. Through maintaining a good oral hygiene practice, many oral diseases can be prevented. The most common oral diseases are dental caries and periodontal diseases [2],[3]. Many studies had reported a high prevalence of dental caries in children [2],[3],[4]. Poor oral hygiene habits may result in the progression of periodontal diseases due to plaque accumulation which initially causes gingival inflammation [5],[6]. If worsened, it may lead to a periodontal breakdown.

Good oral hygiene practices should be implemented from childhood and are recommended by the dentists for preventing oral diseases [7]. Brushing twice daily with fluoridated toothpaste is important in preventing dental caries [8],[9]. Besides that, other oral hygiene practices are flossing, applying fluorides, minimal consumption of sweets and sugary foods, regular dental visits to the dentist and preventive dental procedures such as pit and

---

<sup>1</sup> Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai, India, Mail Id: [151501091.sdc@saveetha.com](mailto:151501091.sdc@saveetha.com)

<sup>2</sup> Corresponding Author: Senior Lecturer, Department of Pedodontics, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai, India, Mail Id: [jessyp.sdc@saveetha.com](mailto:jessyp.sdc@saveetha.com)

<sup>3</sup> Professor, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai, India, Mail Id: [iffatnasim@saveetha.com](mailto:iffatnasim@saveetha.com)

fissure sealants [10], [11],[12]. Adding fluorides in drinking water is also recommended by the World Health Organization in order to prevent dental caries [13],[14]. In children, tooth brushing should be started when the first primary tooth erupts with a smear size amount of toothpaste for children less than 3 years and a pea-size amount of toothpaste for children more than 3 years to improve the benefits of fluoride [15]. A study reported that children's oral health behavior was influenced by parents and family members [16]. In a study done by Trubey et al, habituation is an important factor that influences regular tooth brushing twice a day [17]. The parents should not miss brushing their children's teeth to ensure that the daily routines are stable from day to day. In a study done by David Avenetti et al, most children brushed at least once daily with toothpaste and very less brushed twice daily [18].

Knowledge of oral health is important as it will increase the awareness of oral health and better oral hygiene practices [19],[20],[21],[22]. Parents should play an important role by showing good oral hygiene practice and educate their children about the importance of tooth brushing. It is parents' responsibility to ensure their children receive the necessary health related necessities [23]. Early childhood caries is a significant public health problem as it may impact the quality of life of young children [24],[25],[26],[27]. Parents should receive education regarding this matter to reduce the risk of oral disease [28],[29]. Furthermore, the first dental visit should be done months after the first tooth erupts. As there are fewer studies about oral hygiene in the Chennai population, this study was aimed to assess the association between oral health and frequency of tooth brushing among children in the Chennai population.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A retrospective study was conducted involving pediatrics patients visiting a dental hospital from July 2019 till March 2020. Ethical approval for this study was granted by the Institutional Ethics Committee with the following ethical approval number SDC/SIHEC/2020/DIASDATA/0619-0320. Written consent forms were obtained from the parents of all children.

Patients' case sheets were reviewed and analyzed. Patients who visited a dental hospital for the first time were included and children with special needs were excluded in the study. 531 participants irrespective of genders between 7 to 12 years were selected. To minimize bias, the data was verified by the second reviewer and cross-verification was done by contacting the parents.

Data variables including sociodemographic such as age and gender, oral hygiene practices such as frequency of brushing and type of toothpaste used were recorded. Oral hygiene status was assessed using oral hygiene index-simplified (OHI-S) of John C. Greene and Jack R. Vermillion. The interpretation of the OHI-S score was good (0-1.2), fair (1.3-3.0) and poor (3.0-6.0).

Data were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences SPSS Version 20. A Chi-Square test was used to determine the association and significant difference between the variables. P-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

## **RESULTS**


A total of 531 participants out of which males (54.8%) and females (45.2%) between 7 to 12 year old children were shown in Graph 1. Based on Graph 2, the age was divided into 7 years (17.1%), 8 years (15.1%), 9 years (17.7%), 10 years (16.2%), 11 years (18.1%) and 12 years (15.8%).

Table 1 shows the association of gender with frequency of toothbrushing and OHIS-S score. In the present study, an increased frequency of brushing was seen in females as 53.4% brushed twice daily compared to males with 46.6% as shown in Graph 3. Meanwhile, 64.9% of males brushed only once daily compared to females with 35.1%. There was a statistically significant difference between gender and frequency of brushing ( $p < 0.05$ ). Present study revealed that there was a statistically significant difference between gender and OHI-S score ( $p < 0.05$ ). Good OHI-S score was higher in females (51%) than males (49%) as shown in Graph 4. Fair (78.6%) and poor (100%) OHI-S scores were higher in males than females.


Table 2 shows the association of OHI-S score with frequency of toothbrushing and toothpaste used. There was a statistically significant difference between the frequency of brushing and OHI-S score ( $p < 0.05$ ). Good OHI-S

score was higher in those who brushed twice daily (64.3%) than once daily (35.7%) as shown in Graph 5. Meanwhile, fair OHI-S was higher in those who brushed once daily (85.7%) than twice daily (14.3%). An equal number of poor OHI-S scores was seen in those who brushed once daily and twice daily.


Present study also revealed that there was a statistically significant difference between the type of toothpaste used with the OHI-S score ( $p < 0.05$ ). The type of toothpaste was classified into fluoridated and non-fluoridated toothpaste as shown in Graph 6. Good (75.8%) and fair (53.1%) OHI-S scores were greater in those who used fluoridated toothpaste compared to non-fluoridated toothpaste. Poor (75%) OHI-S score was higher in those who used non-fluoridated toothpaste than fluoridated toothpaste.




Graph 1: Bar graph represents the frequency distribution of gender. X-axis represents the gender and Y-axis represents the number of patients (blue-male and purple-female). In the study population, males (54.8%) were higher than females (45.2%).



Graph 2: Bar chart represents the frequency distribution of gender. X-axis represents the age and Y-axis represents the number of patients (red-7 years, pink-8 years, purple-9 years, blue-10 years, green-11 years and yellow-12 years). 11 years (18.1%) were the highest compared to other age groups.




Graph 3: The bar chart depicting the association of gender with the frequency of toothbrushing. X-axis represents the gender and Y-axis represents the number of patients based on frequency of toothbrushing (blue - once daily and green - twice daily). Chi-square test shows that there is a significant association between gender and frequency of toothbrushing,  $p=0.000$  ( $p<0.05$ ) which denotes statistically significant. There is a significant increase in the frequency of toothbrushing in females (29.38%) compared to males.




Graph 4: The bar chart depicting the association of gender with the OHI-S score. X-axis represents the gender and Y-axis represents the number of patients based on OHI-S score (blue - good, green - fair and light yellow - poor). Chi-square test shows that there is a significant association between gender and OHI-S score,  $p=0.000$  ( $p<0.05$ ) which denotes statistically significant. There was an increase in OHI-S score in males (0.75% poor score) compared to females.

Table 1 shows the association of gender with frequency of toothbrushing and OHIS scores. (\*statistically significant )

| Variables                  |             | Gender      |              |           | Statistical Value   |                    |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|---------------------|--------------------|
|                            |             | Male N (%)  | Female N (%) | Total (N) | Chi-Square value    | p-value            |
| Frequency of toothbrushing | Once daily  | 155 (64.9%) | 84 (35.1%)   | 239       | 17.727 <sup>a</sup> | 0.000*<br>(p<0.05) |
|                            | Twice daily | 136 (46.6%) | 156 (53.4%)  | 292       |                     |                    |
| OHIS Score                 | Good        | 210 (49.0%) | 219 (51.0%)  | 429       | 31.582 <sup>a</sup> | 0.000*<br>(p<0.05) |
|                            | Fair        | 77 (78.6%)  | 21 (21.4%)   | 98        |                     |                    |
|                            | Poor        | 4 (100%)    | 0 (0%)       | 4         |                     |                    |



Graph 5: The bar chart depicting the association of frequency of toothbrushing with OHI-S score. X-axis represents the frequency of toothbrushing and Y-axis represents the number of patients based on OHI-S score (blue - good, green - fair and light yellow - poor). Chi-square test shows that there is a significant association between frequency of toothbrushing and OHI-S score, p=0.000 (p<0.05) which denotes statistically significant. There was a decrease in OHI-S score (51.9% good score) as frequency of toothbrushing increased.



Graph 6: The bar chart depicting the association of type of toothpaste used with OHI-S score. X-axis represents the type of toothpaste used and Y-axis represents the number of patients based on OHI-S score (blue - good, green - fair and light yellow - poor). Chi-square test shows that there is a significant association between gender and OHI-S score,  $p=0.000$  ( $p<0.05$ ) which denotes statistically significant. There was a decrease in OHI-S score (61.21% good score) when using fluoridated toothpaste compared to non fluoridated toothpaste.

Table 2 shows the association of OHIS score with frequency of toothbrushing and toothpaste used.

| Variables                  |             | OHIS Score  |            |            |           | Statistical Value   |                       |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                            |             | Good N (%)  | Fair N (%) | Poor N (%) | Total (N) | Chi-Square value    | p-value               |
| Frequency of toothbrushing | Once daily  | 153 (35.7%) | 84 (85.7%) | 2 (50%)    | 239       | 80.780 <sup>a</sup> | 0.000<br>( $p<0.05$ ) |
|                            | Twice daily | 276 (64.3%) | 14 (14.3%) | 2 (50%)    | 292       |                     |                       |

|                 |                 |             |            |         |     |                     |                   |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------|-----|---------------------|-------------------|
| Toothpaste used | Fluoridated     | 325 (75.8%) | 52 (53.1%) | 1 (25%) | 378 | 24.227 <sup>a</sup> | 0.000<br>(p<0.05) |
|                 | Non fluoridated | 104 (24.2%) | 46 (46.9%) | 3 (75%) | 153 |                     |                   |

## DISCUSSION

This study provided information on oral health status based on oral hygiene simplified index (OHI-S). Previously, most of the studies used DMFT/DMFS and community periodontal index (CPI) to assess the oral health status [30],[31],[32].

In this present study, a greater number of participants brushed twice daily (55%) when compared to those who brushed once daily (45%). A previous study reported that 50% of the children brushed twice daily and 40.6% brushed once daily [18]. Another study reported that 58.3% of the participants brushed twice daily [31]. Meanwhile, in a study done by Zohoori et al, they were comparing a high socio-economic (HSE) with low socio-economic (LSE) status which revealed that 66% of LSE and 74% of HSE brushed twice daily and 34% of LSE and 26% of HSE brushed once daily [33]. Studies that reported a higher proportion of those who brushed twice daily may be influenced by the location and socioeconomic status, which suggests that there is a high possibility that they have access to educational institutions to receive treatment and information regarding oral health [34],[35].

The results of this study also revealed that females had a higher number of those who brushed twice daily compared to males which were statistically significant. This was similar to a few studies which reported that females had an increase of brushing frequency than males [35],[36],[37],[38],[39],[40]. However, a few studies also reported that males had a higher brushing frequency than females [31],[41]. In contrast, in a study done by Casanova, there was no statistically significant difference between the frequency of brushing and gender [42].

In the present study, good OHI-S score was higher in females as they had increased in frequency of toothbrushing which was statistically significant. This may be because girls received messages well in terms of oral health compared to boys [43]. Nevertheless, a study reported that there was no significant difference between genders when it comes to oral health status as most of them should have adequate knowledge regarding oral health if they have the same educational level [44].

In the present study, good OHI-S score was higher in those who brushed twice daily and higher numbers of fair and poor OHI-S scores were seen in those who brushed once daily. In comparison to the other study, those who brushed irregularly were more prevalent for plaque accumulation and dental caries than those who brushed once or twice daily [44]. Meanwhile, in a study done by Chestnutt et al, an increase in the frequency of brushing will reduce the risk of dental caries [45]. As recommended by the dentist, brushing twice daily will reduce the risk of oral diseases [7]. Besides that, the effectiveness of various brushing techniques also influences oral health status. The modified bass technique was found to be most effective followed by horizontal scrub and fones techniques for children [46].

The results of this study revealed that 69% of the participants used fluoridated toothpaste and 31.5% used non-fluoridated toothpaste. Similarly, in the other study, there was a comparable percentage of those who used fluoridated toothpaste [18,47]. Those who used fluoridated toothpaste may get recommendations while visiting the dentists. Toothpaste generally consists of abrasive (aluminium hydroxide, calcium carbonate), fluoride (sodium fluoride, stannous fluoride), surfactant (sodium lauryl sulphate), antibacterial agents (triclosan, zinc chloride). Humectants (glycerol, xylitol), anti-sensitivity agent (strontium chloride, arginine) and anti calculus agent (polyphosphate, zinc citrate) [48]. The active ingredients tetrasodium pyrophosphate, Disodium pyrophosphate and Sodium hexametaphosphate present in the fluoridated toothpaste are responsible for the prevention of plaque. Hence in our study maximum children had good oral hygiene scores who used fluoridated

toothpaste. Another study reported that 58% of the participants used non-fluoridated toothpaste in children [49]. They may not be aware of the importance of fluoride toothpaste in children. Therefore, it is important to create awareness among parents regarding the importance of oral hygiene maintenance since childhood. The invention of newer brushing devices can help to motivate the children in maintaining good oral hygiene [50]. The limitations of the study includes smaller sample size, hence extensive research is required considering the timing of brushing and method of brushing.

## CONCLUSION

Within the limitations of the study, it can be concluded that there was a strong association between oral hygiene and the frequency of brushing. Good oral hygiene maintenance was seen among females with increased brushing frequency and lower OHI-S score compared to males. Therefore, emphasis on the importance of twice daily brushing with a correct method along with the usage of fluoridated toothpaste is recommended to improve oral hygiene. Besides that, oral health promotions such as school health programs, preventive programs should be taken up for educating and creating awareness regarding oral hygiene maintenance.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors have equal contribution in bringing out the research work

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Nil

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Nil

## REFERENCES

1. Alpert PT. Oral Health: The Oral-Systemic Health Connection. *Home Health Care Manag Pract.* 2017 Feb 1;29(1):56–9.
2. Bodur HBA, Yücesoy V, Baloş K. The evaluation of dental caries prevalence and periodontal status in two-different age groups. *GÜ Dişhek Fak Derg.* 2004;21(1):35–9.
3. Gökcalp S, Güçüz Doğan B, Tekçiçek M, Berberoğlu A, Ünlüer Ş. The oral health profile of 5, 12 and 15 year olds, Turkey-2004. *CDR.* 2007;31:3–10.
4. Yildirim M, Bayram M, Patir A, Yalçın F, Seymen F. Incidence of bad oral habits among 8-12 year old children. *European Oral Research.* 2011;45(3):29.
5. Sayegh A, Dini EL, Holt RD, Bedi R. Oral health, sociodemographic factors, dietary and oral hygiene practices in Jordanian children. *J Dent.* 2005 May;33(5):379–88.
6. Okolo SN, Chukwu GA, Egbunu I, Ezeogu FA, Onwuanaku C, Adeleke OA, et al. Oral hygiene and nutritional status of children aged 1--7 years in a rural community. *Ghana Med J.* 2006;40(1):22.
7. Blinkhorn AS, Gratrix D, Holloway PJ, Wainwright-Stringer YM, Ward SJ, Worthington HV. A cluster randomised, controlled trial of the value of dental health educators in general dental practice. *Br Dent J.* 2003 Oct 11;195(7):395–400; discussion 385.
8. Walsh T, Worthington HV, Glennly A-M, Appelbe P, Marinho VC, Shi X. Fluoride toothpastes of different concentrations for preventing dental caries in children and adolescents. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2010 Jan 20;(1):CD007868.
9. Gibson S, Williams S. Dental Caries in Pre-School Children: Associations with Social Class, Toothbrushing

Habit and Consumption of Sugars and Sugar-Containing Foods. *Caries Res.* 1999;33(2):101–13.

10. Ewles L, Simnett I. *Promoting health: a practical guide to health education.* John Wiley & Sons; 1985.
11. Packiri S, Gurunathan D, Selvarasu K. Management of Paediatric Oral Ranula: A Systematic Review. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2017 Sep;11(9):ZE06–9.
12. Christabel SL, Gurunathan D. Prevalence of type of frenal attachment and morphology of frenum in children, Chennai, Tamil Nadu. *World J Dent.* 2015;6(4):203–7.
13. Somasundaram S, Ravi K, Rajapandian K, Gurunathan D. Fluoride Content of Bottled Drinking Water in Chennai, Tamilnadu. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2015 Oct;9(10):ZC32–4.
14. Mahesh R MM. Fluoride, fluoridated toothpaste efficacy and its safety in children - Review. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research.* 2018;10(4):109–14.
15. Creeth J, Bosma ML, Govier K. How much is a “pea-sized amount”? A study of dentifrice dosing by parents in three countries. *Int Dent J.* 2013;63:25–30.
16. Fisher-Owens SA, Gansky SA, Platt LJ, Weintraub JA, Soobader M-J, Bramlett MD, et al. Influences on children’s oral health: a conceptual model. *Pediatrics.* 2007 Sep;120(3):e510–20.
17. Trubey RJ, Moore SC, Chestnutt IG. Children’s toothbrushing frequency: the influence of parents' rationale for brushing, habits and family routines. *Caries Res.* 2015 Jan 28;49(2):157–64.
18. Avenetti D, Lee HH, Pugach O, Rosales G, Sandoval A, Martin M. Tooth Brushing Behaviors and Fluoridated Toothpaste Use Among Children Younger Than Three Years Old in Chicago. *J Dent Child .* 2020 Jan 15;87(1):31–8.
19. Dođru AG, Filiz A, Dođru M, Saribaş E, Uysal E, Yildirim TT. Association Between Level Of Education And Oral Health Status In 12-79 Year Olds. *International Archives of Medical Research.* 2012;3(1):1–6.
20. Govindaraju L, Jeevanandan G, Subramanian EMG. Comparison of quality of obturation and instrumentation time using hand files and two rotary file systems in primary molars: A single-blinded randomized controlled trial. *Eur J Dent.* 2017;11(03):376–9.
21. Jeevanandan G. Kedo-S Paediatric Rotary Files for Root Canal Preparation in Primary Teeth - Case Report. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2017 Mar;11(3):ZR03–5.
22. Govindaraju L, Jeevanandan G, Subramanian EMG. Knowledge and practice of rotary instrumentation in primary teeth among indian dentists: A questionnaire survey. *Journal of International Oral Health.* 2017 Mar 1;9(2):45.
23. Gurunathan D, Shanmugaavel AK. Dental neglect among children in Chennai. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent.* 2016 Oct;34(4):364–9.
24. Subramanyam D, Gurunathan D, Gaayathri R, Vishnu Priya V. Comparative evaluation of salivary malondialdehyde levels as a marker of lipid peroxidation in early childhood caries. *Eur J Dent.* 2018 Jan;12(1):67–70.
25. Govindaraju L, Jeevanandan G, Subramanian E. Clinical Evaluation of Quality of Obturation and Instrumentation Time using Two Modified Rotary File Systems with Manual Instrumentation in Primary Teeth. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2017 Sep;11(9):ZC55–8.
26. Jeevanandan G, Govindaraju L. Clinical comparison of Kedo-S paediatric rotary files vs manual instrumentation for root canal preparation in primary molars: a double blinded randomised clinical trial. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent.* 2018;19(4):273–8.

27. Panchal V, Jeevanandan G, Subramanian EMG, Others. Comparison of instrumentation time and obturation quality between hand K-file, H-files, and rotary Kedo-S in root canal treatment of primary teeth: A randomized controlled trial. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent.* 2019;37(1):75.
28. Ravikumar D, Jeevanandan G, Subramanian EMG. Evaluation of knowledge among general dentists in treatment of traumatic injuries in primary teeth: A cross-sectional questionnaire study. *Eur J Dent.* 2017 Apr;11(2):232–7.
29. Nair M, Jeevanandan G, Vignesh R, Subramanian EMG. Comparative evaluation of post-operative pain after pulpectomy with k-files, kedo-s files and mtwo files in deciduous molars -a randomized clinical trial. *Brazilian Dental Science.* 2018 Oct 24;21(4):411–7.
30. Cheng Y-C, Huang H-K, Wu C-H, Chen C-C, Yeh J-I. Correlation between dental caries and diet, oral hygiene habits, and other indicators among elementary school students in Xiulin Township, Hualien County, Taiwan. *Tzu Chi Med J.* 2014 Dec 1;26(4):175–81.
31. Akhionbare O, Ojehanon PI. A study of the effect of frequency of tooth brushing on the prevalence of inflammatory periodontal diseases. *Port Harcourt Medical Journal.* 2016 Sep 1;10(3):119.
32. Koyuncuoglu CZ, Kazak M, Pamuk F, Cifcibasi E. Oral hygiene habits and oral health status of female adolescents under state protection: a pilot study. *Istanbul Univ Dishekim Fak Derg.* 2017 Jan 2;51(1):1–7.
33. Zohoori FV, Duckworth RM, Omid N, O'Hare WT, Maguire A. Fluoridated toothpaste: usage and ingestion of fluoride by 4-to 6-yr-old children in England. *Eur J Oral Sci.* 2012;120(5):415–21.
34. Sofola OO, Shaba OP, Jeboda SO. Oral hygiene and periodontal treatment needs of urban school children compared with that of rural school children in Lagos State. Nigeria. *Odontostomatol Trop.* 2003 Mar;26(101):25–9.
35. Villalobos-Rodelo JJ, Medina-Solís CE. Socioeconomic and sociodemographic variables associated with oral hygiene status in Mexican schoolchildren aged 6 to 12 years. *Journal of Periodontology.* 2007; 78(5):816–22
36. Taani DSMQ, al-Wahadni AM, al-Omari M. The effect of frequency of toothbrushing on oral health of 14-16 year olds. *J Ir Dent Assoc.* 2003;49(1):15–20.
37. Al-Shammari KF, Al-Ansari JM, Al-Khabbaz AK, Dashti A, Honkala EJ. Self-reported oral hygiene habits and oral health problems of Kuwaiti adults. *Med Princ Pract.* 2007;16(1):15–21.
38. del Socorro Herrera M, Lucas-Rincón SE, Medina-Solis CE, Maupomé G, de Lourdes Márquez-Corona M, Islas-Granillo H, et al. Socioeconomic inequalities in oral health: factors associated with tooth brushing frequency among Nicaraguan schoolchildren. *Revista de Investigación Clínica.* 2009;61(6):489–96.
39. Maes L, Vereecken C, Vanobbergen J, Honkala S. Tooth brushing and social characteristics of families in 32 countries. *Int Dent J.* 2006 Jun;56(3):159–67.
40. Vallejos-Sánchez AA, Medina-Solís CE, Maupomé G, Casanova-Rosado JF, Minaya-Sánchez M, Villalobos-Rodelo JJ, et al. Sociobehavioral factors influencing toothbrushing frequency among schoolchildren. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 2008 Jun;139(6):743–9.
41. Almas K, Albaker A, Felembam N. Knowledge of dental health and diseases among dental patients, a multicentre study in Saudi Arabia. *Indian J Dent Res.* 2000 Oct;11(4):145–55.
42. Casanova-Rosado JF, Vallejos-Sánchez AA, Minaya-Sánchez M, Medina-Solís CE, La Rosa-Santillana D, Márquez-Corona DL, et al. Frequency of Tooth Brushing and Associated Factors in Mexican School children Six to Nine Years of Age. *West Indian Med Journal.* 2013;62(1).
43. Casanova-Rosado AJ, Medina-Solís CE, Casanova-Rosado JF, Vallejos-Sánchez AA, Minaya-Sánchez M,

Mendoza-Rodríguez M, et al. Tooth brushing frequency in Mexican schoolchildren and associated socio-demographic, socioeconomic, and dental variables. *Med Sci Monit.* 2014 Jun 7;20:938–44.

44. Quadri MFA, Shubayr MA, Hattan AH, Wafi SA, Jafer AH. Oral Hygiene Practices among Saudi Arabian Children and Its Relation to Their Dental Caries Status. *Int J Dent.* 2018 Apr 16;2018:3234970.

45. Chestnutt IG, Schäfer F, Jacobson APM, Stephen KW. The influence of toothbrushing frequency and post-brushing rinsing on caries experience in a caries clinical trial. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 1998;26(6):406–11.

46. Patil SP, Patil PB, Kashetty MV. Effectiveness of different tooth brushing techniques on the removal of dental plaque in 6-8 year old children of Gulbarga. *J Int Soc Prev Community Dent.* 2014 May;4(2):113–6.

47. Lalithambigai G, Rao A, Rajesh G, Shenoy R, Pai MBH. Dental health behavior pertaining to fluoridated toothpaste usage among the parents of private and government school students in Mangalore, South India. *Journal of Indian Association of Public Health Dentistry.* 2019 Jul 1;17(3):218.

48. Cury JA, Tenuta LMA. Evidence-based recommendation on toothpaste use. *Braz Oral Res.* 2014 Jan 24;28 Spec No:1–7.

49. Franzman MR, Levy SM, Warren JJ, Broffitt B. Tooth-brushing and dentifrice use among children ages 6 to 60 months. *Pediatr Dent.* 2004 Jan;26(1):87–92.

50. Farhat Yaasmeen Sadique Basha , Rajeshkumar S , Lakshmi T ,Anti-inflammatory activity of *Myristica fragrans* extract . *Int. J. Res. Pharm. Sci.,* 2019 ;10(4), 3118-3120 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.26452/ijrps.v10i4.1607>

51. Govindaraju L, Gurunathan D. Effectiveness of Chewable Tooth Brush in Children-A Prospective Clinical Study. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2017 Mar;11(3):ZC31–4.