# Profitability: Impact of Institutional Ownership, Managerial Ownership and Capital Structure in the Agricultural Sector in Indonesia

# <sup>1</sup>Dede Hertina, <sup>2</sup>Devy Mawarnie, <sup>3</sup>Sakina Ichsani

Abstract---This research was conducted to examine the effect of institutional ownership, managerial ownership and capital structure on profitability in the agricultural sector listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange for the period 2013-2017. The research population is in agricultural companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in the period 2013-2017. The sample selection uses a purposive sampling method and there are 10 companies that fit the sample criteria. Data analysis method uses panel data with the results of partial hypothesis testing showing that institutional ownership does not affect profitability with a probability level of 0.3423. Managerial ownership influences profitability with a probability level of 0.0489. Capital structure has a negative and significant effect on profitability with a probability level of 0.0002. The use of a large debt will reduce the profit generated by the company, because of the amount of interest that must be paid by the company for the company's debt.

Keywords----Institutional ownership, Managerial ownership, Capital structure and profitability

# I. INTRODUCTION

The growth of the agricultural sector in Indonesia has always been below economic growth, even though Indonesia is known as an agricultural country because some of its residents are livelihoods as farmers. The company's financial statements in the agricultural sector published on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2013-2017 can be seen that the average ROA of agricultural companies has a downward trend from 2013-2017. Data from the Central Bureau of Statistics shows the movement of the agricultural sector which tended to decline in 2013 to 2017. The average ROA in agricultural companies tends to decrease. In 2014 the average graph of ROA from the agricultural sector showed an increase to 6.81, this figure was quite significant compared to 2013 which was only 5.19, but then in 2015 the average graph of ROA decreased to 4.26 and continued to decline to 3.88 in the year 2016 until only 3.26 in 2017. Syafaat (2005) states that the agricultural sector provides an important role in the economy, among others as a source of income and employment opportunities for rural residents, as food producers to meet the basic needs of a growing population, as a driver of industrialization, especially for those who have with the agricultural sector, as a contributor to the country's foreign exchange, and as a market for non-agricultural sector products and services. The agricultural sector as the main source of income, efforts to develop technology that can increase productivity and efficiency of production costs on agriculture are things that must be considered by the government. One of the factors that can reduce a company's profitability is the agency conflict between managers and shareholders. It often happens that managers prefer their own interests and act against the interests of the owner. Differences in interests between shareholders and managers lead to agency problems. Brigham and Houston (2010:

<sup>1</sup>Business and Management Faculty, Widyatama University

dede.hertina@widyatama.ac.id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Business and Management Faculty, Widyatama University

devy.mawarnie@widyatama.ac.id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Business and Management Faculty, Widyatama University

sakina.ichsani@widyatama.ac.id

26) agency conflict is a potential conflict of interest between an agent and an external shareholder or creditor. The way to reduce this agency conflict is through share ownership. In this study the share ownership to be examined is institutional ownership and managerial ownership. Brigham and Houston (2010: 26) agency conflict is a potential conflict of interest between agents and an external shareholder or creditor. The conflict is through share ownership. In this study the share ownership to be considered is institutional ownership and managerial ownership.

#### **II. LITERATURE REVIEW**

#### II.I. Effect of Institutional Ownershipon Profitability

Institutional ownership can affect a company's profitability. Institutional ownership is one of the corporate governance mechanisms that can reduce problems in agency theory between owners and managers, resulting in an alignment of interests between company owners and managers (Hanifah, 2013). Wayan (2016: 177) states that institutional ownership is the number of share ownership by institutions (government, foreign companies, financial institutions such as insurance, banks and pension funds) contained in the company. Mirawati's research (2013) states that institutional ownership has a positive effect on profitability, while the Wiranata (2013) study results show that institutional ownership does not affect profitability. Nuraina (2012: 116) institutional ownership is the percentage of company shares owned by other institutions or institutions (insurance companies, pension funds, or other companies). In conclusion, institutional ownership is ownership of shares by other institutions outside the company, namely the government, foreign companies, financial institutions, pension funds, and other companies. This study institutional ownership is measured by the proportion of company stock ownership by institutions of all outstanding shares (Mayangsari, 2015).Institutional ownership has an important role in minimizing agency conflicts that occur between shareholders and managers (Wijayanti, 2015). The existence of institutional investors is considered to be able to optimize supervision of management performance by monitoring decisions taken by managers as company managers (Saudi, 2018). Institutional ownership is indicated by the high percentage of company shares owned by the institution. Mirawati (2013) states that the structure of institutional ownership partially affects profitability. The research of Candradewi and Sedana (2016) shows that institutional ownership has a positive and significant effect on ROA. The results of research by Wiranata and Nugrahanti (2013) show that institutional ownership does not affect the profitability of the company.

#### II.II. Effect of Managerial Ownership on Profitability

Managerial ownership affects the profitability generated by the company. Majid (2016: 4) states that managerial ownership is a shareholder of management who actively participates in decision making within the company. Hadianto (2011) managerial ownership is the size of the number of managerial share ownership in the company can indicate a similarity of interests between management and shareholders.

Previous research conducted by Candradewi and Sedana (2016) stated that managerial ownership has a significant positive effect on profitability. Pasaribu (2016: 156) managerial ownership is the owner / shareholder by the management of a company that actively plays a role in corporate decision making. In conclusion, managerial ownership is the shareholders of management, such as directors and commissioners, who play an active role in making decisions as an indication of the common interests between management and shareholders. The proportion of managerial ownership that is too small causes the manager's performance to be less than optimal so that the company's performance is not too good which can result in the company's profit being not optimal. Research from Wiranata (2013) shows that managerial ownership does not affect profitability. Managerial ownership in this study is measured by the percentage level of ownership of the board of directors and board of commissioners of all outstanding shares (Mayangsari, 2015).

Managerial ownership is the number of shares owned by management that are active in corporate decision making. The ownership of shares owned by management is expected to harmonize the differences between management and shareholders. Candradewi and Sedana (2016) state managerial ownership has a positive and significant effect on ROA. The increasing proportion of managerial ownership in companies can increase ROA directly. The Mirawati (2013) and Wiranata and Nugrahanti (2013) studies show that the managerial ownership structure partially does not affect profitability.

# II.III. Effect of Capital Structure on Profitability

Another factor that can affect a company's profitability is the capital structure. Companies that show good management of capital structures will have a direct impact on the company's financial position which ultimately affects the company's profitability. This research capital structure will be measured using Debt to Equity Ratio.

Brigham and Houston (2010: 143) stated that companies that use more debt in their operations will get a higher interest expense, so that the burden will reduce net income. High debt levels will reduce the profitability obtained by the company, conversely if the debt gets lower the profitability of the company gets higher. This is different from the research conducted by Putra (2012) which states that total debt will not affect the profitability of the company. Fahmi (2016: 184) capital structure is a description of the financial proportion of a company, namely between the capital owned originating from long-term debt and its own capital which is a source of financing for a company. Decision of the source of funds used to strengthen the capital structure is a balance between the amount of permanent short term debt, long term debt, preferred stock and ordinary shares. Conclusion The definition of capital structure is a balance between capital originating from corporate debt and shares used as company financing (Hussain et al., 2018).

The capital composition chosen by the company to finance operational or investment activities has capital costs. The high cost of capital that must be paid by the company will decrease the level of profitability achieved by the company. Companies that use debt as a source of capital will have a high interest rate, which will affect the tax that must be paid by the company. The higher interest paid by the company will make the tax rate to be paid lower and will affect the amount of profit the company produces. Syarib's research results (2016) state that the capital structure has an effect on profitability. The higher the DER ratio shows the higher the ratio of financial failure that might occur to the company, on the contrary if the DER is lower then it indicates the lower the risk of failure that occurs in the company. The results of the studies by Violita and Sulismiyati (2017) and the research of Marusya and Magantar (2016) state that DER partially affects ROA. While Putra's research (2012) states that the capital structure does not affect profitability.

II.IV. Research Hypothesis

- H1 : Institutional ownership affects profitability;
- H2 : Managerial ownership influences profitability;
- H3 : Capital structure influences profitability.

#### **III. RESEARCH METHOD**

This study uses a data analysis model of panel data regression which is a combination of cross section and time series data. This study measures the capital structure using a debt to equity ratio is an indicator of the proportion of corporate debt to shareholder investment, which reflects the company's ability to fulfill the obligations of its capital. The lower the debt to equity ratio, the higher the company's ability to pay all its obligations. This study limits the measurement of company profitability by measuring Return On Assets which is a way to measure how much net income can be obtained from all the assets owned by the company.

# **IV. RESULTS**

Table 1:Test Lagrange Multiplier						
	Test Hypothesis					
	Cross-section	Time	Both			
Breusch-Pagan	8.419910	0.517473	8.937384			
-	(0.0037)	(0.4719)	(0.0028)			
*Mixed chi-square asymptotic critical values:						
1%	7.289					
5%	4.321					
10%	2.952					

**Source :** Data Processing Results (2018)

<b>Table 2:</b> Panel Data Regression Test					
Dependent Variable: ROA Method: Panel EGLS (Cross-section random effects) Date: 04/29/18 Time: 13:44 Sample: 2013 2017 Periods included: 5 Cross-sections included: 10 Total panel (balanced) observations: 50 Swamy and Arora estimator of component variances					
Variable	Coefficien t	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.	
OWN_INSTITUSIO NAL	-3.952114	4.118642	-0.959567	0.3423	
OWN MANAGERIAL	11.26122	5.642992	1.995612	0.0489	
DER	-2.764018	0.681996	-4.052833	0.0002	
С	10.74038	3.419224	3.141175	0.0029	
	Effects Specification S.D.			Rho	
Cross-section random Idiosyncratic random			2.402659 2.750769	0.4328 0.5672	
	Weighted St	atistics			
R-squared Adjusted R-squared S.E. of regression F-statistic Prob(F-statistic)	0.276852Mean dependent var0.229690S.D. dependent var2.773248Sum squared resid5.870248Durbin-Watson stat0.001760		2.133247 3.159773 353.7816 1.420556		
	Unweighted	Statistics			
R-squared Sum squared resid	0.441176 602.3830	Mean depe Durbin-Wa		4.680800 0.834297	

Source : Data Processing Results (2018)

# **Research Panel Data Regression Model :**

 $Y = 10.7403 - 3.9521 X_1 + 11.2612 X_2 - 2.7640 X_3 + e$ 

The interpretation of the equation is :

- 1. Variable profitability is not influenced by all independent variables namely institutional ownership, managerial ownership and capital structure, or all independent variables are zero, then the amount of profitability will remain or there will be no change in the value of Y.
- 2. The coefficient for institutional ownership variables is negative, this indicates a unidirectional relationship between institutional ownership and profitability. The institutional ownership regression coefficient of 3.9521 means that any increase in institutional ownership of one unit will cause a decrease in profitability 3.9521
- 3. The coefficient value of managerial ownership variables is positive, this indicates a unidirectional relationship between managerial ownership and profitability. The managerial ownership regression coefficient of 11.2612 means that every increase in managerial ownership of one unit will cause an increase in profitability of 11.2612.

4. The coefficient value of the capital structure variable is negative, this indicates a unidirectional relationship between capital structure and profitability. The capital structure regression coefficient of 2,7640 means that every increase in capital structure of one unit will cause a decrease in profitability of 2.7640.

#### IV.I. Determination Coefficient Test

<b>Table 3:</b> Determination and F Test Coefficient Test Results				
R-squared	0.276852	Mean dependent var	2.133247	
Adjusted R- squared	0.22969	S.D. dependent var	3.159773	
S.E. of regression	2.773248	Sum squared resid	353.7816	
F-statistic	5.870248	Durbin-Watson stat	1.420556	
Prob(F-statistic)	0.00176			

Source : Data Processing Results (2018)

# IV.II. Model Feasibility Testing (F test)

F-statistic is 5.8702 with a significance level of 0.0017, this indicates  $\alpha$  count (0.0017) < $\alpha$  table (0.05), it can be concluded that the regression model can be used to predict the effect on profitability.

#### IV.III. Partial Hypothesis Testing (t Test)

Table 4:T Test					
Variable	Prob.	α	Conclusion		
Institutional Ownership	0.3423	0.05	Hypothesis Rejected		
Managerial ownership	0.0489	0.05	Hypothesis Received		
Capital Structure	0.0002	0.05	Hypothesis Received		

Source : Data Processing Results (2018)

# V. Discussion

#### V.I. Effect of Institutional Ownership on Profitability

Institutional ownership affects the profitability of the agricultural sector listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2013-2017. The results of this study prove that institutional ownership partially does not affect profitability, it means that the proportion of institutional ownership or reduction owned by the company will not affect the amount of profit the company will get. This research is in line with the results of research conducted by Wiranata and Nugrahanti (2013), Amalia and Hapsari (2017), Sari (2016) which states that partially institutional ownership does not affect profitability. This research is not in line with the results of Mirawati's research (2013) which states that institutional ownership partially influences profitability, also research Candradewi and Sedana (2016) which states institutional ownership has a positive and significant effect on profitability (ROA).

#### V.II. Effect of Managerial Ownership on Profitability

Managerial ownership partially influences the profitability of the agricultural sector listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2013-2017, meaning that the addition of the proportion of managerial ownership in a company will increase the profits obtained by the company. The results of this study are in line with Candradewi and Sedana (2016), which states that managerial ownership has a positive and significant effect on ROA. Amalia and Hapsari's (2017) study states that managerial ownership has a positive relationship to profitability. This research is not in line with the research of Mirawati (2013), Wiranata and Nugrahanti (2013), the results of which state that managerial ownership structure does not affect profitability.

#### V.III. Effect of Capital Structure on Profitability

The capital structure influences the profitability of the agricultural sector that is on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2013-2017. The value of t statistic is negative which indicates the opposite effect between capital structure and profitability. That is, if the company uses capital sourced from larger corporate debt, profitability will decrease.

This research is in line with Boutilda and Gandakusuma (2014), Syarib (2016) which states that the capital structure has a significant positive effect on profitability. The research of Marusya and Magantar (2016) results that DER has a significant effect on ROA. The results of Putra's research (2012) state that different things state that total debt will not affect the profitability of the company. Arista and Topowijono's research (2017) states that capital structure (DER) has no effect on profitability (ROA).

# VI. CONCLUSION

1. Institutional ownership partially has no effect on profitability in the agricultural sector listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2013-2017. The proportion of shares owned by the institution is not related to the profitability produced by the company, because institutional parties do not directly engage in the operations of the company so that it cannot affect the company's profits.

2. Managerial ownership partially affects the profitability of the agricultural sector listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2013-2017. The number of shares owned by managers and directors can affect the profitability of the company, because managers and directors are people who carry out day-to-day operations so that when management is given a number of shares as a reward it will improve management performance. The greater the profit generated by the company will benefit the management.

3. The capital structure partially has a negative and significant effect on profitability in the agricultural sector listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2013-2017. The use of a large debt will reduce the profit generated by the company, because of the amount of interest the company must pay for its debt.

# REFERENCES

- [1] Ajija, Shochrul R., dkk. (2012). Cara Cepat Menguasai Eviews. Jakarta. Salemba Empat.
- [2] Arikunto, Suharsimi. (2013). Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktik. Jakarta. PT Rineka Cipta.
- [3] Arista, Belananda Dwi dan Topowijono. (2017). Pengaruh Struktur Modal Terhadap Profitabilitas (Studi Pada Perusahaan Sub Sektor Otomotif dan Komponen yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia Periode 2013-2015). *Jurnal Administrasi Bisnis (JAB)*, *46*(1). Malang. Universitas Brawijaya.
- [4] Boutilda, Riris dan Imo Gandakusuma. (2014). Pengaruh Struktur Modal Terhadap Profitabilitas Perusahaan: Studi Empiris Perusahaan-Perusahaan Manufaktur Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia Periode 2009-2013. Jurnal Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Indonesia.
- [5] Brigham, F Eugene and Joel F Houston. (2010). *Dasar-dasar Manajemen Keuangan*. Jakarta. Salemba Empat.
- [6] Candradewi, Intan dan Ida Bagus. (2016). Pengaruh Kepemilikan Manajerial, Kepemilikan Institusional dan Dewan Komisaris Independen Terhadap Return on Asset. *E-Jurnal Manajemen Unud*, 5(5).
- [7] Eeng, Ahman dan Rohmana Yana. (2010). *Pengantar Teori Ekonomi Mikro*. Bandung: Rizqi Press.
- [8] Fahmi, Irham. (2016). Pengantar Manajemen Keuangan Teori dan Soal Jawab. Bandung. Alfabeta.
- [9] Ghozali, Imam. (2013). *Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate dengan Program SPSS 21*. Semarang, Edisi Ketujuh. Universitas Diponegoro.
- [10] Hamidi. (2010). Metode Penelitian dan Teori Komunikasi. Malang: UMM Pers.
- [11] Hanifah, Oktita Earning. (2013). Pengaruh Struktur Corporate Governance dan Financial Indicators Terhadap Kondisi Financial Distress (Studi Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia Tahun 2008-2010. *Jurnal Fakultas Ekonomi*. Universitas Diponegoro.
- [12] Hussain, H.I., Abidin, I.S.Z., Ali., A. & Kamarudin, F. (2018). Debt Maturity and Family Related Directors: Evidence from a Developing Market.*Polish Journal of Management Studies*, *18* (2), 118 134.
- [13] Martono dan Harjito. (2011). *Manajemen Keuangan*. Yogyakarta: Ekonisia.
- [14] Horne, Van dan Wachowicz. (2013). *Prinsip-prinsip Manajemen Keuangan*. Edisi Indonesia. Jakarta. Salemba Empat.
- [15] Husnan, Suad dan Enny Pudjiastuti. (2015). *Dasar-dasar Manajemen Keuangan*. Yogyakarta. UPP AMP YKPM.
- [16] Irawati, Susan. (2008). *Manajemen Keuangan*. Bandung: Pustaka.
- [17] Kasmir. (2015). Analisis Laporan keuangan, Cetakan ke-8. Jakarta: PTRajagrafindo Persada.
- [18] Majid, Sonya. (2016). Pengaruh Kebijakan Hutang, Kepemilikan Manajerial, Kebijakan Dividen, dan Ukuran Perusahaan Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan (Studi Pada Sektor Pertambangan Yang Terdaftar Di BEI 2011-2014). Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen, 21(2). Universitas Negeri Surabaya.
- [19] Martono, Nanang. (2011). Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif. Jakarta: PT Raya Grafindo Persada.

- [20] Marusya, Pontororing dan Mariam Magantar. 2016. Pengaruh Struktur Modal Terhadap Profitabilitas Pada Perusahaan *Tobacco Manufacturers* Yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia (BEI) Periode 2008-2015. *Jurnal Berkala Ilmiah Efisiensi*, 16(03).
- [21] Mayangsari, Lillananda Putri. (2015). Pengaruh Good Corporate Governance dan Kinerja Keuangan Terhadap Financial Distress. *Jurnal Ilmu dan Riset Akuntansi*, 4(4).
- [22] Mirawati. (2013). Pengaruh Struktur Kepemilikan dan Ukuran Perusahaan Terhadap Profitabilitas Pada Perusahaan *Property* dan *Real Estate* yang terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia. *Jurnal Akuntansi*. Tanjungpinang. Universitas Maritim Raja Ali Haji.
- [23] Musthafa. (2017). *Manajemen Keuangan*. Yogyakarta. CV. Andi Offset.
- [24] Nazir, Muhammad. (2011). *Metode Penelitian*. Jakarta. Ghalia Indonesia.
- [25] Nuraina, Elva. 2012. Pengaruh Kepemilikan Institusional dan Ukuran Perusahaan Terhadap Kebijakan Hutang dan Nilai Perusahaan (Studi Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur yang Terdaftar di BEI). Jurnal Bisnis dan Ekonomi,19(2).
- [26] Pasaribu, M.Y., Topowijono, dan Sulasmiyati. (2016). Pengaruh Struktur Modal, Struktur Kepemilikan dan Profitabilitas Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Pada Perusahaan Sektor Industri Dasar dan Kimia Yang Terdaftar di BEI Tahun 2011-2014. *Jurnal Administrasi Bisnis (JAB), 35*(1), 154-164.
- [27] Putra, Tommi Sugiyarno. (2012). Peran Struktur Modal Terhadap Profitabilitas Perusahan (Studi Empiris Pada *Conventional Index LQ 45* yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia). *Jurnal Akuntansi Universitas Muhammadiyah*.
- [28] Riyanto, Bambang. (2010). Dasar-dasar Pembelanjaan Perusahaan. Yogyakarta, Edisi Keempat. BPFE.
- [29] Sari, Ira Yunita. (2016). Analisis Pengaruh Struktur Kepemilikan Institusional, *Firm Size, Leverage*, dan Pertumbuhan Perusahaan Terhadap Profitabilitas Pada Perusahaan Non-Perbankan yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia (BEI) Tahun 2011-2014. *Jurnal Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis*. Surakarta. Universitas Muhammadiyah.
- [30] Samsul, Mohammad. (2008). Pasar Modal dan Manajemen Portofolio. Jakarta. Erlangga.
- [31] Saudi, M.H.M., Sinaga, O. & Rospinoedji, D., (2018). The role of tax education in supply chain management: A case of Indonesian supply chain companies, Polish Journal of Management Studies, *18*(2), 304-319.
- [32] Sudana, I Made. (2011). Manajemen Keuangan Perusahaan. Jakarta. Erlangga.
- [33] Sugiyono. (2017). Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D. Bandung. Alfabeta.
- [34] Sutrisno. (2012). Manajemen Keuangan Teori, Konsep dan Aplikasi. Yogyakarta. Ekonisia.
- [35] Syafaat, dkk. (2005). Pertanian Menjawab Tantangan Ekonomi Nasional: Argumentasi Teoritis, Faktual dan Strategi Kebijakan. Yogyakarta: Lapera Pustaka Utama.Syarib, Mochammad.
- [36] Violita, Resi Yanuesti dan Sri Sulasmiyati. (2017). Pengaruh Struktur Modal Terhadap Profitabilitas (Studi Pada Perusahaan Food and Beverages yang terdaftar di BEI Tahun 2013-2016). *Jurnal Administrasi Bisnis (JAB) Universitas Brawijaya*, 51(1).
- [37] Wayan, I., Putu Ayu, dan I Nyoman Sugiarta. (2016). Pengaruh Kebijakan Utang Pada Nilai Perusahaan dengan Kepemilikan Institusional Sebagai Variabel Moderasi (Studi Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur di BEI). *Jurnal Akuntansi Politeknik Negeri Bali*, 12(3).
- [38] Widarjono, Agus. (2013). Ekonometrika Pengantar dan Aplikasi Eviews. Yogyakarta: UPP STIM YKPN.
- [39] Winarno, W, W. (2015). *Analisis Ekonometrika dan Statistika dengan EViews*. Yogyakarta: Edisi Keempat. UPP STIM YKPN.
- [40] Wijayanti, Fitri Laela. (2015). Analisis Pengaruh Kepemilikan Manajerial, Kepemilikan Institusional, Ukuran Dewan Direksi, dan Ukuran Dewan Komisaris terhadap Biaya Keagenan. *Jurnal Ebbank*, 6(2).
- [41] Wiranata, Yulius Ardy dan Yeterina Widi Nugrahanti. (2013). Pengaruh Struktur Kepemilikan Terhadap Profitabilitas Perusahaan Manufaktur di Indonesia. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Keuangan*, 15(1), Mei.
- [42] Yuniarsih, Evi. (2016). Faktor-faktor yang Mempengaruhi Profitabilitas Perusahaan Advertising, Printing dan Media. *Jurnal Ilmu dan Riset Manajemen*, 5(2).
- [43] Zarshenas, L., Sharif, F., Molazem, Z., Ebadi, A., Khayyer, M., Zare, N.Development and psychometric properties of professional belonging scale for nursing students(2018) International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, 10 (3), pp. 131-135. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85052618851&partnerID=40&md5=6b76ceb0c38e8490248d303e04908fdc
- [44] Ruba kello (2016) oral vial with plunger and tear off cap (vptc) is an alternative dosage form for dietary supplements. Journal of Critical Reviews, 3 (4), 6-10.
- [45] Kuni Zu'aimah Barikah. "Traditional and Novel Methods for Cocrystal Formation: A Mini Review." Systematic Reviews in Pharmacy 9.1 (2018), 79-82. Print. doi:10.5530/srp.2018.1.15