# The Technology of Growing Peking Cabbage in Various Planting Schemes in Uzbekistan

Khurramov Ulugbek Holmamatovich, Asatov Shukhrat Ismatovich, Abdiev Zafarali Toshtemirovich and Khasanov Abror Pardayevich

Abstract--- In the Republic, cultivation of Peking cabbage has not enough scientifically-based recommendations on nutrition and placement methods, so many scientists and practitioners have been experimenting with the most delicate habitats in cultivating Peking cabbage and achieving high productivity. In our research, we obtained a 70×30 cm planting scheme as a comparative option. "Xibinskaya" sort of the Peking cabbage was identified on the basis of crop yields and economic efficiency of 2016-2021, which was cultivated on 7 different schemes, as a replica. The article describes the results of experiments on determining the best nutrition of Peking cabbage.

Keywords--- Peking Cabbage, Sort, Hybrid, Cabbage Head, Yield, Early Maturing, Nutrition Area, Leaf, Leaf Surface, Cabbage Weight.

#### I. Introduction

Vegetables contain more than a dozen vitamins, mineral salts, enzymes, phytoncides, and other biologically active substances, which can be used to increase people's longevity and ability to work.

The most favorable or optimal nutritional area is not the ability of the plant to accumulate the highest harvest, but the least cost per hectare of crops, and the highest quality yields. Therefore, this issue is constantly being pursued by the scientists of the plant science and is mutually engaged in it. [1]

The area of feeding is a field of land that is occupied by plant in the field, the plant thickness or scarcity is the number of plants in that hectare. The most suitable nutrition area depends on sowing and its varieties, as well as on external factors and used technology. As mentioned above, the plant nutrition area has long been interested many scientists and practitioners in plant growing. The efforts to deeply and accurately study this issue began in the mid-19th century.[2]

In the United States, Peking cabbage is grown between 45-60 cm in rows between 30-45 cm in the row of plants, and on the islands of Mauritius, the plants are placed in  $60\times60$  cm. On the island of Taiwan, it is grown in the rows of 50 cm width while on the island of Puerto Rico it is cultivated 90x25-30 cm. [3]

In Germany, this crop is growing every year. It is placed in the feeding zone 30×25 or 30×30 cm, when it is grown for the next period. [4]

Khurramov Ulugbek Holmamatovich, Doctor of Philosophy in Agricultural Sciences, Department of "Vegetable, Melon Growing and Potato Growing" of the Tashkent State Agrarian University. E-mail: X.Ulugbek1986@mail.ru

Asatov Shukhrat Ismatovich, Professor, Department of "Vegetable, Melon Growing and Potato Growing" of the Tashkent State Agrarian

Abdiev Zafarali Toshtemirovich, Assistant Professor, Department of "Vegetable, Melon Growing and Potato Growing" of the Tashkent State Agrarian University.

Khasanov Abror Pardayevich, Assistant Professor, Department of "Vegetable, Melon Growing and Potato Growing" of the Tashkent State Agrarian University.

In Bulgaria, Peking cabbage is grown in early spring, between rows 30 cm and 15–20 cm in a row of plants, and in the summer-autumn period, plants are planted in the range of 25–30 cm. [5]

In Denmark, frost-resistant Peking cabbage is grown in film and glass greenhouses for early production. At the same time, seedlings are compacted and grown in feeding areas of  $25\times25$  cm and  $25\times20$  cm. [6]

In Ukraine, Peking cabbage is planted in greenhouses of the first harvest. Seeds are sown at  $8\times8$  cm and then interleaved for 10-15 days. The nutritional area of the plants left for head formation is  $16\times16$  cm. In open fields, seeds of Beijing cabbage are sown in rows of  $45\times70$  cm, leaving the plants 25-30 cm. [10]

There is insufficient data on the feeding area of Peking cabbage in Uzbekistan, but in practice, vegetable lovers sow seedlings at 70×25-30 cm during summer sowing for winter storage. [7]

### II. METODICS

In our country, there is not enough scientifically-based recommodations on the nutrition and placement methods on cultivation of cabbage, so we have taken thescheme of 70×30 cm as a comparative option in our researches. Field experiments were carried out on the field of experimental farming of the Plant Research Institute in 2015-2017, observations, measurements and calculations parts carried out in four repeats 70 cm between raws, 10 m long consisting 7 m<sup>2</sup>. [8];[9]

#### III. THE RESULTS OF THE EXPERIMENT

We have tested the following spacing intervals in our field experiments to determine the beijing cabbages nutrition and nutrition habits:  $70\times20$ ;  $70\times25$ ;  $70\times30$ ;  $70\times35$ ;  $70\times40$ ;  $70\times45$ ;  $70\times50$  cm, The area of feeding seedlings when they are placed in such a range of 0,140; 0,175; 0,210;0,245; 0,280; 0,315; 0,350  $\text{M}^2$  respectively.

Planting seedlings of Peking cabbage with a distance of  $70\times20$  cm indicates that it will be 150% more compared to an option compared to the number of plants in the hectare ( $70\times30$  cm). In the  $70\times45-50$  cm range of seedlings, the number of crops in the area decreased by -66,7-60,0%, respectively.

Results of biometric measurements show that the intensified planting of plants (1 variant) was lower by 95,5% compared to the number of leaves (variants) and by 112,5-113,7% more than that of controlling the number of leaves 6-7 variants (Table 1).

Table 1: The influence of the nutritional field on the Peking cabbage plants leaf growing. (2015-2017 yy).

The nutritional area of a	Plants in a he	ectare	The leaves is	n a bush of plant	The largest leaf
bush of plant,	Number,	compared to the	Number,	compared to the	length,
$m^2$	pieces	control, %	pieces	control, %	cm
0,140	71,428	150,0	23,7	95,6	32,0
0,175	57,142	120,0	24,1	97,2	33,2
0,210 control	47,619	100	24,8	100	34,8
0,245	40,816	85,7	25,7	103,6	35,2
0,280	35,714	75,0	26,8	108,0	37,9
0,315	31,746	66,7	27,9	112,5	39,9
0,350	28,571	60,0	28,2	113,7	41,8
NSR <sub>05</sub>			1,08		1,85
Sx,%			3,0		3,58

DOI: 10.37200/IJPR/V24I1/PR200260

Received: 20 Nov 2019 | Revised: 24 Dec 2019 | Accepted: 08 Jan 2020

In experimental feeding areas, plants were found to form leaves at different sizes. The largest leaf was found in the nutritional area of 0,315-0,350 m<sup>2</sup>. The size of the leaves in these variants increased by 0,105-0,140 cm relative to the option  $(0,210 \text{ m}^2)$ .

It should be noted that in the densely planted plants, the size of the leaf compared to the control was less than -2,8-1,6 cm. The surface area of the leaves is enlarged due to the increase in the area of nutrition of the plants. Table 2.

Table 2: The influence of nutrition on the formation of vegetation on leaf surfaces. (2016-2017 yy).

Plant nutrition	number of plants on hectare	Leaf surface				
area,m <sup>2</sup>	Thousand pieces	in the bush		on the hectare		
		In comparison with			In comparison with	
		$dm^2$	control, %	$m^2$	control, %	
70×20	71,4	44,5	79,7	317,7	119,6	
70×25	57,1	50,6	90,7	288.9	108,8	
70×30 control	47,6	55,8	100	265,6	100	
70×35	40,8	62,2	111,5	253,8	95,5	
70×40	35,7	68,8	123,3	245,6	92,5	
70×45	31,7	74,7	133,9	236,8	89,1	
70×50	28,6	78,9	141,4	225,6	84,9	
NSR <sub>05</sub>		1,96		-		
Sx,%		3,14		-		

According to the data in Table 3, the decrease in the nutritional value of the Peking cabbage (in variant 1) has shown that the number of different plant leaves is reduced to -79,7 percent compared to the comparison. In the sprouting area of the large (0,350-0,315 m<sup>2</sup>) area, the surface area of the plant has increased by 141,4-133,9%, however, the surface of leaves was increased in the smallest space (70×20;), taking into account the number of plants on the hectare of the plant surface of the herbaceous leaves.

As the Peking cabbage seedlings are expanded to the field, the potential for the use of light energy increases due to the expansion of the nutritional area of the soil, thanks to the nutrients from the soil, the gas regimes and, in particular, the increase of the plant's assimilating surface.

In the phenological observations, the period of formation of the cabbage heads did not have a major impact on the duration of the head packing due to the reduction of the vegetation area or the thickness of the seedlings.

Table 3: Growth and development of Peking cabbage grown in different nutritional fields. (2015-2017 yy).

Nutrition	number of	The time elapsed before	The time passed from	Head development
area,	plants, units	cabbage heads begun to	planting to maturation	time, days
$m^2$	/ha	develop		
0,140	71,4	85	115	42
0,175	57,1	83	112	41
0,210 control	47,6	81	108	40
0,245	40,8	80	104	38
0,280	35,7	80	102	37
0,315	31,7	79	99	36
0,350	28,6	77	97	36

DOI: 10.37200/IJPR/V24I1/PR200260

Received: 20 Nov 2019 | Revised: 24 Dec 2019 | Accepted: 08 Jan 2020

Sx,%

As the area of nutrition increases, the number of bushes in the hectare decreases. It has been found that the cultivated plants grow in the area of large nutrition fields are relatively earlier maturing for 2-4 days than control plants, but the difference was not noticeable in the studied varieties. If in the largest nutritional area 77 days required to cabbage head wipening and 97 days for maturation, thn this result in control variaty was 81 and 108 days.

The ability of plants to have different absorption surfaces on different nutritional areas, their differences in growth and development, the formation of different accelerators, and the impact on cabbage heads development levels the quantity and quality of the product.

The nutritional area of a bush of plant, m <sup>2</sup>	Number of plants on hectare thousand pieces	Average weight of cabbage head, kg	The total harvest, t/ha	Additional yield in comparison with control		quality product quantity, %
				t/ha	%	
0,140	71,4	0,62	38,2	3,2	109,1	79,0
0,175	57,1	0,74	36,6	1,6	104,6	80,0
0,210 control	47,6	0,84	35,0	-	100	82,8
0,245	40,8	0,97	34,6	-0,4	98,8	83,2
0,280	35,7	1,09	34,0	-0,1	97,1	85,9
0,315	31,7	1,15	32,2	-2,8	92,0	86,9
0,350	28,6	1,24	31,4	-3,6	89,7	87,3
NSR <sub>05</sub>		0,14	1,96			

Table 4: The effect of nutrition area on Peking cabbage yield. (2015-2017 yy).

Largest cabbage heads by cabbage head weight formation was observed in the sparse areas (28,5-31,7 thousand). Cabbage heads formed there were heavier compared to control option (47,6 million) to 310-400 grams, or 147,6%. The smallest heads are at the areas where the seedlings are densely planted. When placing 71,4 thousand seedlings at the expense of conditional area, their shaped cabbage heads were lighter by 220 g or -73,8% relative to control. Because of the lack of light and nutrient content on the fields where the crops are found to be extremes, the weight of the cabbage heads does not seem to be heavy, but because of the large number of cabbage, the amount of yield is much higher.

4.02

4.95

In the feeding areas, where heavy cabbage heads are formed, the quality of the product is higher than other options.

The highest yields were taken from a variant of 71,4 thousand plants, which were taken from the conditional area. The crop yielded 38,2 tonnes, up 3,2 tonnes or 109,1% more than control. The difference between the most sparse and most sophisticated varieties of plants was 6,8 tonnes. It has been established that the area of nutrition of plants can be increased to a certain extent. In the most densely populated version there was a decrease in cabbage weight and product quality.

Thus, the increase in plant thickness by 23,8 thousand more than the control of the plant has a negative impact on the quality of its products and, conversely, the decrease in the number of plants by 19,0 thousand hectares, increase the quality of the cabbage and the quality of products.

Economic efficiency of cultivation of Peking cabbage on different planting schemes as a secondary crop.

The economic efficiency of the Xibinskaya variety of Peking cabbage produced in 7 different schemes was determined on the basis of the technology card 2016-2021 (Table 1).

From the figures given in Table 5, the funds spent on the land and its preparation for sowing were the same in all planting schemes (502,100 soums). However, the costs for seedlings production amounted to 6,871.5 soums in the  $70\times20$  cm plan. The increase in the number of seedlings found in the area due to the decrease in the area of studying nutrition showed that the expenditure on it increased from 2,752.4 thousand to 6,871.5 thousand soums.

As a result, the total cost of payment for these schemes varies from 10,400.9 thousand to 22,635 million soums. The thick or sparse sowing of Peking cabbage affects its yield on the surface. In the studied planting scheme, the highest yields (38,2 t/ha) were obtained from the planting of  $70\times20$  cm. The yield from this nutritional site was greater than 6,6-8 t/ha relative to other variants ( $70\times45$ , 50 cm) compared to 2,2 t/ha compared to  $70\times30$  cm. The cheapest cost of a kg harvest was  $70\times50$  cm, which was 176,6 sums lower than the control version, and the next  $70\times20,25,35,40,45$  cm was 21,8-369,8 sums it was low. In the version of  $70\times20$  cm, the control was up 193,2 soums more.

Table 5: Economic efficiency of cultivation of Peking cabbage on different planting schemes in the second period.

		Planting schemes.							
t/r	Indicators	70×20	70×25	70×30	70×35	70×40	70×45	70×50	
				control					
1.	2	3	4	5				6	
2.	Direct costs: land preparation for	502,1	502,1	502,1	502,1	502,1	502,1	502,1	
	sowing								
3.	Costs for seeds seedling preparation,	6,871.5	5,495.4	4,581.0	3,926.5	3,335.7	2,920.7	2,772.4	
	sums								
4.	Sowing and care, Soum	8,471.5	6,774.8	5,647.7	4,840.8	3,631.1	3,139.6	3,073.01	
5.	Harvesting, soums	1,094.9	1,062.2	1,051.4	1,044.1	1,058.6	1,015.1	993,387	
6.	transportation of the harvest	1,168.0	1,133.3	1,121.7	1,113.9	1,129.4	1,083.0	1,059.8	
7.	Total expenditures, soums	18,108.0	14,967.8	12,903.9	11,427.4	9,656.9	8,660.5	8,400.6	
8.	Direct expenses, soums 25%	4,527.0	3,741.9	3,22.0	2,856.8	2,414.2	2,165.1	2,100.2	
9.	The total expenses soums	22,635	18,709.7	16,129.9	14,284.2	12,071.1	10,825.6	10,500.8	
10.	Quality yield, t/hec.	30,2	29,3	29,0	28,8	29,2	28,4	27,2	
11.	Cost of production, soums	700	700	700	700	700	700	700	
12.	Harvest price is at the hectare	21,140	20,510	20,300	20,160	20,440	19,880	19,040	
13.	The cost of 1 t	749,4	638,5	556,2	496	413.4	381,2	386,0	
14.	Net Profit, Soum	-1,495	1,800.3	4,170.1	5,875.8	8,368.9	9,054.4	8,539.2	
15.	Profitability, %	-6,6	9,6	25,8	41,1	69,3	83,6	81,3	
								10. 45	

When cultivating the Peking cabbage in a variety of planting schemes, the highest net profit yielded a 70×45 cm planting scheme. The net profit gained from it was 9,054.400 soums, which was 4,884.300 soums more than the controlling option.

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The largest leaf was found in the nutritional area of 0,315-0,350 m<sup>2</sup>.
- 2. In the sprouting area of the large (0,350-0,315 m<sup>2</sup>) area, the vegetation surface has increased by 141,4-133,9%, but the maximum number of plants in the hectare is determined by the smallest (70×20;) the surface of the leaves has increased.

International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation, Vol. 24, Issue 01, 2020

ISSN: 1475-7192

3. Because of the lack of light and nutrient content on the fields where the crops are found to be too close in raw, the weight of the cabbage heads does not seem to be heavy, but because of the large number of cabbage, the amount of yield is much higher.

4. The highest net profit per unit area is 70×45 cm. The net profit gained from it was 9,054.400 soums, which was 4,884.300 soums more than the controlling option. Thus, when planting "Xibinskaya" sort of Peking cabbage in a 70×45 cm scheme on repeated terms allows to receive the highest net income.

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- [1] Zuev VI, O.K. Kodirkhujaeva head. "cabbage head vegetable crops". The book of Vegetable and Gardening. Tashkent. *Economics and Finance*, 2010y, pp. 256-257.
- [2] Kolpakov N.A. "Yield of Peking cabbage at various terms of cultivation" NGAU-1 [26] p. 26-27. 2013
- [3] Pivovarov P.F., Kononkov P.F., Nikulshina V.A. New on your table. M-Kolos. 1995 pages 222-225.
- [4] Krug. G. "Vegetable growing" (1 per. Anem. V.I. Leunova) Moscow. Kolos. 2000, S. 576.
- [5] Mihov A., Alipieva M. Vegetable crops: cruciferous families. // Practical vegetable growing (translated from Bulgarian) M. Kolos, 1980. P. 147-162.
- [6] W.Van. Averbeke, T.E Tshikalange and K.A Juma. The commodity systems of Brassica rapa L. subsp. chinensis and Solanumretroflexum Dun. in Vhembe, Limpopo Province, South Africa // Centre for Organic and Smallholder Agriculture, Department of Crop Sciences, Tshwane University of Technology // Private Bag X680, Pretoria 0001, South Africa 2011 // page 10.
- [7] Zuev V.I., Abdullaev A.G. The book "Crop production and technology for their cultivation." *Tashkent-1997*. "Uzbekistan" pages 168-170.
- [8] Dospekhov B.A. Methodology of the field expirience.-M: Kolos, 1985. pages 272-287
- [9] Azimov B.J., Azimov B. B. "Methods of conducting vegetable, melon and potato experiments" .- T. National Encyclopedia of Uzbekistan, 2002, pp. 180-198.
- [10] https://Venskaydacho.

Received: 20 Nov 2019 | Revised: 24 Dec 2019 | Accepted: 08 Jan 2020