

A RETROSPECTIVE ANALYSIS ASSESSING THE FREQUENCY OF PATIENTS WILLING TO UNDERGO RETREATMENT OF ORTHODONTIC TREATMENT AFTER RELAPSE

Monica Antony¹, Remmiya Mary Varghese², L. Leelavathi³

Abstract

Introduction: Orthodontic relapse is the tendency for teeth to return to their pre-treatment position. It is difficult for the tooth to be maintained in the corrected position that was achieved by orthodontic treatment without proper retention. Factors that cause the teeth to move back to original malocclusion include periodontal, gingival, occlusal and growth related factors. The aim of the study is to assess the frequency of patients willing to undergo retreatment of orthodontic treatment after relapse.

Materials and methods: 588 data were collected of the study population between June 2010 to April 2020 that had removable orthodontic treatment done due to relapse. The data was imported to the software IBM SPSS Version 23.0 and analyzed using descriptive statistics and Pearson's correlation. Graphs were obtained and the results were tabulated. Statistical significance was set at <0.05.

Results: Out of the total study population who have been treated with removable appliances, 33.50% of the study population had undergone retreatment and 28.57% of the population had undergone treatment were new cases which were in the age group of 21 to 30 years. 54.08% of the study population that underwent orthodontic treatment were females of which 27.04% were retreatment and 27.04% were new cases and 45.92% were males of which 23.64% were retreatment and 22.28% were new cases.

Conclusion: Relapse being unpredictable, it is important to educate the patients to be fully committed when undergoing orthodontic treatment. Patient should be dedicated towards wearing retainer and also made to understand that by wearing retainer as directed, it will help the teeth along with the surrounding hard and soft tissues to realign, stabilizing the new bite.

Keywords : Aesthetics; orthodontic therapy; relapse; retainers; retreatment.

Introduction

In orthodontic treatment, retention is the attempt to keep teeth in the corrected positions after treatment. Traditionally, relapse from orthodontic treatment tends to move back to the original occlusion [1,2]. Though it doesn't always tend to move back to the original occlusion, it is considered as an unfavorable change far away from corrected malocclusion [3].

¹ Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences (SIMATS), Saveetha university, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.

² Corresponding Author: Senior lecturer, Department of orthodontics, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Science (SIMATS), Saveetha university, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India, Mail id: remmiyav.sdc@saveetha.com

³ Senior lecturer, Department of public health dentistry, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Science (SIMATS), Saveetha university, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.

Orthodontic treatment not only is indicated for aesthetics, but it also plays a role in maintaining oral hygiene, managing occlusion that can cause problems in the temporomandibular joints and conditions like obstructive sleep apnea due to craniofacial abnormalities causing reduced blood flow. Hence it is important to maintain the corrected positions after treatment to prevent going back to the complication.[4,5, 6].

In 1934, Oppenheim stated, "Retention is one of the most difficult problems in orthodontics; in fact, it is the problem" [7]. Over the decades, many theories have been proposed regarding retention. Some of the theories are:

- a) Kingsley felt that occlusion was the key to stability[8].
- b) An alternative theory was that the apical base had to be respected[9].
- c) Another idea was that mandibular incisors had to be placed over the basal bone in order for stability[10].
- d) Rogers proposed that proper function and muscle balance was related to stability[11].

Once these theories are understood, better data can be used to provide evidence based recommendations to patients. Relapse can be caused by orthodontic factors like periodontal, gingival, occlusal factors, soft tissue pressure, limits of dentition and age changes[11]. In case of fixed retention appliances, failure to bond the appliance with the proper adhesives and skipping reviews can affect the quality of treatment[12,13]. Temporary anchorage devices like mini implants are placed to treat impacted teeth. Though the chances of relapse in impacted teeth are considered to be less likely, failure to maintain retention procedures can lead to at least a small degree of relapse[14,15,16]

The muscles of the tongue act to give forces lingually and the lips and cheeks act on the labial aspect. Although the muscles of the tongue exert strong forces that are overcome by the healthy periodontium maintaining occlusion[17]. If the teeth are moved farther away from the 'neutral zone', particularly in the lower arch, relapse is more likely. If the above mentioned factors are not followed during orthodontic treatment, though stability is achieved, aesthetics will be compromised[18]. Bisphosphonates have an effect on bone metabolism and it is said to have an influence on orthodontic treatment and tooth movement[19].

Hence in these cases, the clinician needs to plan an appropriate retention strategy to overcome the relapse potential[20]. Age changes will bring about subtle, minor changes in the relationships between both the arches[21]. Retainers can be fixed or removable. This study has included a study population that had removable orthodontic treatment either due to relapse or as a new patient. Removable retainers help maintain oral hygiene and in some cases may only need to be worn at night[22]. It is important to make sure that the patient's mentality is taken into consideration before starting treatment as it plays a major role in the success of an orthodontic treatment[23]. Good patient compliance is required and if instructions are overlooked, relapse occurs. Full responsibility of the patient to wear the appliance should be emphasized to maintain retention. The objective of this study is to assess the number of patients willing to undergo retreatment of orthodontic therapy after relapse from a study population[24].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was a retrospective study which was conducted by using the data collected from the educational software of Saveetha Dental College, Chennai; from June 2010 to April 2020 and it was examined by two examiners. Prior to the start of the study, ethical approval was obtained from Scientific Review Board, Saveetha Dental College, SIMATS university. The study involved a total of 588 sample sizes of the study population that underwent removable orthodontic treatment were obtained between the age group of 10 to 40 years. Patients that needed removable orthodontic treatment, attending the OPD of Saveetha Dental College were enrolled in the study by simple random sampling. 588 case sheets were reviewed and cross verification was done through

photographs taken of the oral cavity. The external validation can be generalised among the south indian population.

The data was collected from the health records system used at Saveetha Dental College which was used to record and store information and oral health data of the patients reporting to the college. It helps in retrieval of data as starting from diagnosis to treatments rendered, everything is stored and can be accessed by the physicians. The inclusion criteria included patients that underwent removable orthodontic treatment either as retreatment or as new treatment[Figure 1]. Any other treatment other than removable orthodontic treatment was in the exclusion criteria.

The data was imported to the software IBM SPSS Version 23.0 and analyzed using descriptive statistics and Pearson's correlation. Graphs were obtained and the results were tabulated. Statistical significance was set at <0.05 . Ethical clearance was obtained and covered under the following ethical approval number - SDC/SIHEC/2020/DIASDATA/0619-0320.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

33.50% of the study population had undergone retreatment and 28.57% of the population had undergone treatment as new cases which were more between the age group of 21 to 30 years.[Figure 2] 54.08% were females among the study population and 45.92% were males that underwent removable orthodontic treatment.[Figure 3] 50.68% of the study population had retreatment due relapse while 49.32% were new patients.[Figure 4], of which most of the patients were females 27.04%, for both retreatment and new cases.[Figure 5].Most of the patients were females that underwent retreatment. They were mostly young patients that had to undergo retreatment due to relapse.62.07% of the study population that underwent removable orthodontic treatment were between the ages of 21 to 30 years of which 33.50% had undergone retreatment and 28.57% of the population were new patients.[Figure 1]. Most of the patients were young adults as they were the ideal age for treatment[25]. Patients that underwent treatment failed to follow the instructions. They were prone to relapse due to the lack of awareness. Most of the patients were forced into the treatment due to their parents[26].54.08% of the study population were females while 45.92% of the study population were males[Figure 2]. Based on other studies as well, most of the females were aware of their aesthetics among which 77% of the population were females while 23% were males[27]. While some studies showed no relevance and were just a simple error due to sampling bias[28].

Retreatment was done by 50.68% of the population while 49.32% were new patients[Figure 3]. Most of them that underwent retreatment were females that were 27.04%[Figure 4]. It should be taken into consideration that most of the patients underwent retreatment which shows the lack of awareness[29]. Orthodontics is unpredictable, increases the risk of relapse and it is important that all clinicians need to treat all patients as they have high potential to relapse[30].

When relapse has taken place, for retreatment,a detailed amendment should be done with X-rays, models and photographs of the teeth. Patients should be counselled on the importance of consistent retainer wear after retreatment to avoid relapse for the second time[31].If relapse from the first treatment is not addressed, the quality of the treatment will be compromised.Retreatment after relapse will still show adequate results[32]. It is the clinicians responsibility to ensure that patients are appropriately instructed regarding the care of the retainers and provided advice about the timing of retainer review[33].



Figure 1- The Figure shows the Removable Retainer in the upper and lower arch which is given after fixed appliance therapy to prevent relapse.




Figure 2 - Bar graph showing gender wise distribution with gender in x axis and frequency in y axis. Nearly 54.08% of the study population was found to be females who underwent removable orthodontic treatment followed by males with 45.92%.



Figure 3- Bar graph showing distribution of study population on the basis of treatment done. X-axis denotes the frequency of the treatment done and Y-axis denotes the type of treatment done. Majority of the patients have undergone retreatment(50.68%) due relapse as compared to new cases (49.32%).




Figure 4 - Bar graph showing the correlation between age groups of the study population from 10 to 40 years and the type of treatment done. x axis represents age groups and y axis represents percentage of the patient undergoing treatment. Blue colour denotes retreatment and red colour denotes new treatment. Majority of the population undergoing retreatment(blue) was in the age group of 21-30 years and the population undergoing treatment as a new patient were more between the age group of 21 to 30 years. Chi square test was done .

Pearson- chi square value is 6.158 and the p value is 0.046(<0.05) showing that the study is statistically significant.




Figure 5 - Bar graph showing the association between gender of the study population and the type of the treatment done .x axis represents the gender and y axis represents percentage of patients. Blue colour denotes retreatment and red colour denotes new treatment. Females were more likely to undergo treatment as retreatment(blue) and as well as new treatment(red) as compared to male patients.Chi square test was done ,Pearson- chi square value - 0.128 and the p value - 0.720 (>0.05) .Hence, statistically not significant.

CONCLUSION

Maintaining teeth in their corrected and stable positions even after orthodontic treatment is essential. In this study, 50.68% of the study population that already underwent orthodontic treatment were willing to accept retreatment after relapse. Majority of the patients that underwent retreatment were females. Patient mentality is very important in the success of an orthodontic treatment and they must be willing to follow the instructions to maintain stability and avoid relapse. Properly spaced teeth will help prevent accumulation of food, maintain aesthetics and maintain oral hygiene.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to acknowledge the Chancellor, Director of Academics; the Principal, Associate Dean of Research, the Vice Chancellor of Saveetha University; HOD and their Professors, Readers, Lecturers and their fellow post graduates, Department of Orthodontics and Information Technology of Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals management; the support from parents and their family for their constant assistance with the research.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

Monica Antony carried out the present design of the study, data collection and data analysis with interpretation. Dr. Remmiya Mary Varghese aided in the conception of the topic, has participated in the study design, statistical analysis and has supervised the preparation and drafting of the manuscript and Dr. L. Leelavathi carried out the critical revision of the article. All the authors have discussed the results among themselves and contributed to the final manuscript

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There was no potential conflict of interest declared by the authors.

REFERENCES

1. Rogers AP. Stimulating arch development by the exercise of the masseter-temporalis group of muscles. Vol. 8, International Journal of Orthodontia, Oral Surgery and Radiography. 1922. p. 61–4.
2. Jain RK, Kumar SP, Manjula WS. Comparison of intrusion effects on maxillary incisors among mini implant anchorage, j-hook headgear and utility arch. J Clin Diagn Res. 2014 Jul;8(7):ZC21–4.
3. Felicita AS. Quantification of intrusive/retraction force and moment generated during en-masse retraction of maxillary anterior teeth using mini-implants: A conceptual approach. Dental Press J Orthod. 2017 Sep;22(5):47–55.
4. Viswanath A, Ramamurthy J, Dinesh SPS, Srinivas A. Obstructive sleep apnea: awakening the hidden truth. Niger J Clin Pract. 2015 Jan;18(1):1–7.
5. Felicita AS, Chandrasekar S, Shantha Sundari KK. Determination of craniofacial relation among the subethnic Indian population: a modified approach - (Sagittal relation). Indian J Dent Res. 2012 May;23(3):305–12.
6. Krishnan S, Pandian K, Kumar S. Angular photogrammetric analysis of the soft-tissue facial profile of Indian adults. Vol. 29, Indian Journal of Dental Research. 2018. p. 137.
7. Oppenheim A. The crisis in orthodontia Part I. 2. Tissue changes during retention. Skogsborg's septotomy. Vol. 20, International Journal of Orthodontia and Dentistry for Children. 1934. p. 639–44.
8. Recent Literature A Treatise on Oral Deformities as a Branch of Mechanical Surgery . By Norman W. Kingsley, M. D. S., D. D. S., etc., etc. With over three hundred and fifty Illustrations. New York: D. Appleton & Co. 1880. Vol. 103, The Boston Medical and Surgical Journal. 1880. p. 16–16.
9. Lundström AF. Malocclusion of the teeth regarded as a problem in connection with the apical base . Vol. 11, International Journal of Orthodontia, Oral Surgery and Radiography. 1925. p. 591–602.
10. Tweed CH. Indications for the extraction of teeth in orthodontic procedure. Vol. 30, American Journal of Orthodontics and Oral Surgery. 1944. p. 405–28.
11. Littlewood SJ, Russell JS, James Spencer R. Why do orthodontic cases relapse. Vol. 2, Orthodontic Update. 2009. p. 38–44
12. Kumar KRR, Ramesh Kumar KR, Shanta Sundari KK, Venkatesan A, Chandrasekar S. Depth of resin penetration into enamel with 3 types of enamel conditioning methods: A confocal microscopic study. Vol. 140, American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics. 2011. p. 479–85.
13. Samantha C, Sundari S, Chandrasekhar S, Sivamurthy G, Dinesh S. Comparative Evaluation of Two Bis-GMA Based Orthodontic Bonding Adhesives - A Randomized Clinical Trial. J Clin Diagn Res. 2017 Apr;11(4):ZC40–4.
14. Felicita AS, Sumathi Felicita A. Orthodontic extrusion of Ellis Class VIII fracture of maxillary lateral incisor – The slingshot method. Vol. 30, The Saudi Dental Journal. 2018. p. 265–9.
15. Vikram NR, Prabhakar R, Kumar SA, Karthikeyan MK, Saravanan R. Ball Headed Mini Implant. J Clin Diagn Res. 2017 Jan;11(1):ZL02–3.
16. Felicita AS. Orthodontic management of a dilacerated central incisor and partially impacted canine with unilateral extraction - A case report. Saudi Dent J. 2017 Oct;29(4):185–93.
17. Proffit WR. Equilibrium Theory Reexamined: To What Extent Do Tongue and Lip Pressures Influence Tooth Position and Thereby the Occlusion. Oral Physiology and Occlusion. 1978. p. 55–77.
18. Sivamurthy G, Sundari S. Stress distribution patterns at mini-implant site during retraction and intrusion--

- a three-dimensional finite element study. *Prog Orthod*. 2016 Jan 18;17:4.
19. Krishnan S, Pandian S, Kumar S A. Effect of bisphosphonates on orthodontic tooth movement-an update. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2015 Apr;9(4):ZE01–5.
 20. Little RM. Stability and Relapse of Dental Arch Alignment. Vol. 17, *British Journal of Orthodontics*. 1990. p. 235–41.
 21. Vaden JL, Harris EF, Behrents RG. Adult versus adolescent Class II correction: A comparison. Vol. 107, *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*. 1995. p. 651–61.
 22. Gill DS, Naini FB, Jones A, Tredwin CJ. Part-time versus full-time retainer wear following fixed appliance therapy: a randomized prospective controlled trial. *World J Orthod*. 2007 Autumn;8(3):300–6.
 23. Kamisetty SK. SBS vs Inhouse Recycling Methods-And Invitro Evaluation. *Journal of clinical and diagnostic research*. 2015.
 24. Thickett E, Power S. A randomized clinical trial of thermoplastic retainer wear. Vol. 32, *The European Journal of Orthodontics*. 2010. p. 1–5.
 25. Hassan AH, Amin HE-S. Association of orthodontic treatment needs and oral health-related quality of life in young adults. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*. 2010 Jan;137(1):42–7.
 26. Dinesh SPS, Arun AV, Sundari KKS, Samantha C, Ambika K. An indigenously designed apparatus for measuring orthodontic force. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2013 Nov;7(11):2623–6.
 27. Rubika J, Sumathi Felicita A, Sivambiga V. Gonial Angle as an Indicator for the Prediction of Growth Pattern. Vol. 6, *World Journal of Dentistry*. 2015. p. 161–3.
 28. Little RM. Stability and relapse: Early treatment of arch length deficiency. Vol. 121, *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*. 2002. p. 578–81.
 29. Danz JC, Greuter C, Sifakakis I, Fayed M, Pandis N, Katsaros C. Stability and relapse after orthodontic treatment of deep bite cases--a long-term follow-up study. Vol. 36, *The European Journal of Orthodontics*. 2014. p. 522–30
 30. Pollard D, Akyalcin S, Wiltshire WA, Rody WJ. Relapse of orthodontically corrected deepbites in accordance with growth pattern. Vol. 141, *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*. 2012. p. 477–83.
 31. Little RM, Wallen TR, Riedel RA. Stability and relapse of mandibular anterior alignment—first premolar extraction cases treated by traditional edgewise orthodontics. Vol. 80, *American Journal of Orthodontics*. 1981. p. 349–65.
 32. Reitan K. Clinical and histologic observations on tooth movement during and after orthodontic treatment. Vol. 53, *American Journal of Orthodontics*. 1967. p. 721–45.
 33. R AD la C, De la Cruz R A, Sampson P, Little RM, Årtun J, Shapiro PA. Long-term changes in arch form after orthodontic treatment and retention.. Vol. 107, *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*. 1995. p. 518–30.
 34. Farhat Yaasmeen Sadique Basha, Rajeshkumar S, Lakshmi T, Anti-inflammatory activity of *Myristica fragrans* extract . *Int. J. Res. Pharm. Sci.*, 2019 ;10(4), 3118-3120 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.26452/ijrps.v10i4.1607>