

UNDERSTANDING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HUMAN RESOURCES MANAGEMENT (HRM), HUMAN RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (HRMP) AND EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT

Anjan Kumar Kundu, Assistant Professor, Applied Science & Humanities, Haldia Institute of Technology

ABSTRACT

The connection between Human Resources Management Practices (HRMP) and employee engagement has acquired critical consideration structure researchers. Regardless of the significance of HRMP and its effect on employee engagement in the business, little examination has been done as far as developing a deliberate writing audit in the branch of knowledge. Subsequently, this paper intends to introduce a methodical writing survey of articles dependent on forty exceptionally positioned diaries during the time of 1990 to 2017. The paper researches the chronicled advancements of Human Resources Management (HRM), HRMP, and employee engagement to introduce the reasonable evolvment and elaboration of the connection among HRMP, and employee engagement. The writing featured that appropriately executing HRMP impacts employee engagement, and what this relationship decidedly means for the general presentation. In this term, a proposed system is introduced. At long last, the examination restrictions and roads for future analysts are given.

Keywords: Human Resources Management Practices, Employee Engagement

I. INTRODUCTION

The connection among HRMP and its effect on employee engagement has been profoundly examined on an overall scale. These examinations and exploration endeavors plan to foster an applied structure that expounds on the connection among HRMP and employee engagement. By expounding on this relationship, a comprehension of its effect on organizational determination, development, and wonderfulness arises (Alfes, Shantz, Truss and Soane, 2013). In any case, a few creators and scientists contend that HRMP are viewed as a center component of organizational achievement, and thusly further develops employee execution (Alfes et al., 2013). The idea of "Employee Engagement" was first authored by Khan (1990); in any case, around then it was officially known as the "Management Theory Concept".

This idea was at first characterized as the understanding of working on something for employees, to which it was subsequently known as "engagement". The methodology of utilizing laborers by adequately utilizing HRM is the thing that engagement is viewed as. Additionally, HRM gives conditions that basically urge employees to expand their level of usefulness and responsibility. In addition, an employee's commitment towards the organization turns out to be more critical when they are given more noteworthy individual

obligations to chip away at, in which naturally they feel all the more promptly engaged with the dynamic cycle and thus builds their level of responsibility toward the organization. Besides, there is a positive relationship between's employees' work engagement, particularly in the process of giving birth concentrated organizations and HRMP (Bailey, Madden, Alfes and Fletcher, 2017).

In this manner, employees' responsibility and inspiration are viewed as a type of work engagement, in light of pointers estimations by the HRMP (Xu and Thomas, 2011). It is critical to take note of that a few researchers underline a prizes framework, strengthening, and preparing (Avery, McKay and Wilson, 2007), while others highlight that HRMP, like independence and preparing, advance employees' engagement (Sivapragasam and Raya, 2017). The accessibility of adaptable HRMP likewise impacts employee engagement and occupation execution. Also, these practices plan to upgrade employees' essential engagement, inventiveness, and innovational conduct (Easa and Orr, 2020). In this way, it tends to be inferred that HRMP expect to incorporate preparing and improvement, monetary and non-monetary acknowledgment, fringe advantages, and administrator subordinate relationship (Taghipour and Dezfoul, 2013).

The measurements of key HRM expand on the interlinked connection between attitudinal results of the employee and the standards of employee execution like benefit, quality, or client care (Jani and Balyan, 2016). Moreover, Rich, Lepine, and Crawford (2010) contend that the workplace might possibly influence the degree of employee engagement towards the organization or the actual work. Moreover, this was upheld by a few examinations guaranteeing that an expansion in employee execution has been passed on in various created modern nations, dissimilar to in non-industrial nations like Bangladesh, Azerbaijan, and Afghanistan, where scarcely any investigations in this setting were led.

The current paper conducts, initial, an audit of the authentic advancements of HRM, HRMP, and employee engagement to introduce the calculated evolvement and elaboration of the connection between the three previously mentioned ideas. Second, the paper dives further into the cycle and technique utilized in choosing audited articles. Third, it inspects the discoveries by going through an authentic audit of HRMP and employee engagement. Forward, we present a conversation of the effect of HRMP on employee engagement. The impediments, suggestions, and the finish of this paper are introduced toward the end.

II. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HUMAN RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AND EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT

Following the examinations and exploration led by Kahn (1990), Shuck et al. (2011) stretched out the hypothetical viewpoint to direct another review to test the connection between work fit, full of feeling responsibility, mental environment, and employee engagement, from one side and optional exertion, and expectation to turnover, structure the opposite side. Their review used a web based study that applied a six-

scale estimation to a heterogeneous testing of organizations. These included organizations connected with administration, innovation, medical care, retail, banking, charity, and neighborliness fields". The consequences of this review showed that occupation fit, full of feeling responsibility, and mental environment were all altogether connected with employee engagement, while employee engagement was essentially connected with both optional exertion and goal to turnover (Shuck et al., 2011). Employee engagement is additionally connected with task execution, logical execution, and dynamic learning, especially for employees high in honesty (Bakker et al., 2008).

Also, a few investigations demonstrated that HRMP impact employees and organizational results. These examinations express that to satisfy the objectives of an organization, the two employees and organizations must corporate and rely upon one another to arrive at their objectives and destinations (Bedarkar and Pandita, 2014). Thus, employee engagement ought not be a one-time practice rather it ought to be incorporated into the organization's way of life. Along these lines, employee engagement ought to deftly include a nonstop stream of the learning and improvement process. This contention was upheld by a few investigations showing the idea of employee engagement stays in a transformative state (Shuck et al., 2011; Taghipour et al., 2013). Also, another review planned a test model of forerunners of work, this review incorporates four factors presented as predecessors: work inspiration, work fulfillment, mental strengthening, and moral environment (Taghipour et al., 2013). The review result was that these factors were profoundly associated (genuinely) to work engagement and have the unpremeditated connections to human resources management practices, this additionally incorporates HRMP.

Then again, Albrecht, Bakker, Gruman, Macey, and Saks (2015), showed that HRMP need to move under the standard organization of yearly reviews. They focused on the significance of implanting engagement in HRMP. These practices looked like preparing, improvement, and building a more grounded relationship with employees. Also, a review done by utilizing meta-investigation inspected the relationship at the specialty unit level between employee engagement and the final organizational outcomes of customer satisfaction, productivity, profit, employee turnover, and accidents (Harter, et al. 2002).

A work engagement concentrate on based model, by Bakker (2011) was directed showing that drew in employees are associated genuinely, intellectually, and sincerely with their work position and jobs. In the review, it was recommended that Human Resource chiefs explicitly through line directors, could complete a few things to work with and support work engagement among their employees. There have been a few examinations directed which mean to stalemate the positive force of employee engagement toward the organizational result affected by HRMP (Attridge, 2009). Also, it is fundamental to exhibit what proportions of employee engagement help with clarifying the distinction between employee's presentation in individual and group base units. This estimation of employee execution reveals insight into the top, moderate, less performing units and subsequently decides how estimation worth could be improved across the

organizational level. By carrying out these estimations, line administrators could zero in on further developing business execution (Robertson, Birch, and Cooper, 2012).

In this way, organizations should put resources into authority advancement programs. Besides, advancement and a consistent stream of preparing projects will be essential in making effective and very much conveyed programs inside the labor force. These projects will help with sharing the vision and mission of the organization. Thusly employees' feeling of having a place and collaboration will increment on the organizational level.

III. CONCLUSION

This paper has basically investigated the literature and emphasized on the connection among HRMP and employee engagement. In the wake of perusing, examining and contrasting the course of events of both HRMP and employee engagement timetables and how these ideas created over the long run, it tends to be reasoned that earlier investigations have displayed of a feeling of interrelation between these two ideas. Be that as it may, due it advancing over the long haul and by the advancement of new factors and strategies, the effect of HRMP on employee engagement is turning out to be more apparent. This implies that the working environment climate has come to include more collaboration, and supports the formation of connected labor force. This guarantees a superior professional training with better installment conditions, acknowledgment and preparing lead likewise to higher engagement levels. Additionally, applying compelling HRMP through preparing, making one of a kind project for improving individual and collaboration abilities brings about making employees more connected with. Thus, the more significant level of employee engagement, the almost certain organizational achievement. Thusly, giving solid work engagement will bring about sure work results, expanding organizational responsibility, usefulness and benefit just as having lower turnover expectations.

IV. REFERENCES

- [1] Albrecht, S., Bakker, A., Gruman, J., Macey, W., & Saks, A. (2015). Employee engagement, human resource management practices and competitive advantage. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance*, 2(1), 7-35.
- [2] Alfes, K., Shantz, A. D., Truss, C., & Soane, E. C. (2013). The link between perceived human resource management practices, engagement and employee behaviour: A moderated mediation model. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 24(2), 330-351.
- [3] Armstrong, M. (2000). *Performance management practice: Key practices and practical guidelines*. UK: Kogan pages, 57-62.
- [4] Attridge, M. (2009). Measuring and managing employee work engagement: A review of the research and business literature. *Journal of Workplace Behavioral Health*, 24(4), 383-398.

- [5] Avery, D., McKay, P., & Wilson, D. (2007). Engaging the aging workforce: The relationship between perceived age similarity, satisfaction with coworkers, and employee engagement. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92(6), 1542-1556.
- [6] Bailey, C., Madden, A., Alfes, K., & Fletcher, L. (2017). The meaning, antecedents and outcomes of employee engagement: a narrative synthesis. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 19(1), 31-53.
- [7] Bakker, A. (2011). An evidence-based model of work engagement. *Curent Directions In Psychological Science*, 20(4), 265-269.
- [8] Bakker, A., & Demerouti, E. (2008). Towards a model of work engagement. *Career Development International*, 13(3), 209-223.
- [9] Bedarkar, M., & Pandita, D. (2014). A study on the drivers of employee engagement impacting employee performance. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 133, 106-115.
- [10] Boselie, P., Dietz, G., & Boon, C. (2005). Commonalities and contradictions in HRM and performance research. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 15(3), 67-94.
- [11] Boxall, P. (1996). The strategic HRM debate and the resource-based view of the firm. *Human Resources Management Journal*, 6(3), 59-75.
- [12] Budhwar, P. (2000). Evaluating levels of strategic integration and devolvement of human resource management in the UK. *Personnel Review*, 29(2), 141-157.
- [13] Chandler, G. N., & McEvoy, G. M. (2000). Human resource management, TQM, and firm performance in small and medium-size enterprises. *Harvard Business Review*, 25(1), 43-57.
- [14] Czarnowsky, M. (2008). Learning's role in employee engagement: An ASTD research study. American Society for Training & Development, Alexandria: VA
- [15] Drucker, P., Dyson, E., Handy, C., Saffo, P., & Senge, P (1997). Looking ahead: Implications of the present. *Harvard Business Review*. 75(5):18-32.
- [16] Easa, N. F., & Orra, H. E. (2020). HRM practices and innovation: An empirical systematic review. *International Journal of Disruptive Innovation in Government*, ahead-of-print (aheadof-print).
- [17] Francis, H., & Keegan, A. (2006). The changing face of HRM: In search of balance. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 16(3), 231-249.
- [18] Harter, J. K., & Creglow, A. (1997). A meta-analysis and utility analysis of the relationship between core GWA employee perceptions and business outcomes. Lincoln, NE: The Gallup Organization.
- [19] Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F. L., & Hayes, T. L. (2002). Business-unit-level relationship between employee satisfaction, employee engagement, and business outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(2), 268-279.
- [20] Jani, M. & Balyan, R. (2016). Impact of employee perceive HR practices on employee engagement. *Journal of Business Management & Social Sciences Research*, 5(9), 98-108.
- [21] Kahn, W. (1990). Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work. *Academy of Management Journal*, 33(4), 692-724. 10 BAU Journal - Creative Sustainable Development, Vol. 2, Iss. 1 [2020], Art. 6 <https://digitalcommons.bau.edu.lb/csdjournal/vol2/iss1/6>
- [22] Kangure, F., Wario, G., & Odhiambo, R., (2014). Relationship between job characteristics and employee engagement among state corporations in kenya. *Journal of Innovative Research and Studies*, 3(5), 327-350.
- [23] Luthans, F., & Peterson, S. J. (2002). Employee engagement and manager selfefficacy. *Journal of Management Development*, 21(5), 376-387.
- [24] Macey, W. & Schneider, B. (2008). The Meaning of Employee Engagement. *Journal of Industrial and Organizational Psychology*. 1(1), 3-30.

- [25] Maslach, C., Schaufeli, W. B., & Leiter, M. P. (2001). Job burnout. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52(1), 397-422.
- [26] McConville, T. (2006). Devolved HRM responsibilities, middle-managers and role dissonance. *Personnel Review*, 35(6), 637-653.
- [27] Porter, M. (1990). The Competitive Advantage of Nations. *Harvard Business review*, 69-130.
- [28] Rich, B., Lepine, J. & Crawford, E. (2010). Job engagement: antecedents and effects on job performance. *Academy of Management Journal*. 53. 617-635
- [29] Robertson, I. T., Jansen Birch, A., & Cooper, C. L. (2012). Job and work attitudes, engagement and employee performance. *Leadership & Organization. Development. Journal*, 33(3), 224-232.
- [30] Saks, A. M. (2006). Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 21(7), 600-619.
- [31] Saks, A. M. (2008). The meaning and bleeding of employee engagement: How muddy is the water? *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 1(1), 40-43.
- [32] Schaufeli, W. B., Salanova, M., González-Roma, V., & Bakker, A. B. (2002). The measurement of engagement and burnout: A confirmative analytic approach. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 3, 71–92
- [33] Schuler, R. S., & Jackson, S. (1987). Linking competitive strategies with human resource management practices. *Academy of Management Executive*, 1(3):159-176. (Republished online in 2017)
- [34] Schuler, R. S., & MacMillan, I. C. (1984). Gaining competitive advantage through human resource management practices. *Human Resource Management*, 23(3), 241-255. (Republished online in 2006)
- [35] Shuck, B., Reio, T. G., & Rocco, T. S. (2011). Employee engagement: An examination of antecedent and outcome variables. *Human Resource Development International*, 14(4), 427- 445.
- [36] Taghipour, A., & Dezfuli, Z. K. (2013). Designing and testing a model of antecedents of work engagement. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 84, 149-154.
- [37] Towers P. (2007). Closing the engagement gap: A road map for driving superior business performance, *Journal of International Management Reviews*, 11, pp. 201.
- [38] Vance, R.J. (2006). *Employee engagement and commitment: A guide to understanding, measuring, and increasing engagement in your organization*. The SHRM Foundation, Alexandria:VA
- [39] Wang, Y. and Hsieh, H. (2013). Organizational ethical climate, perceived organizational support, and employee silence: A cross-level investigation. *Human Relations*, 66, 783-802.
- [40] Wright, P. M., & Snell, S. A. (1991). Toward an integrative view of strategic human resource management. *Human Resource Management Review*, 1(3), 203-225.
- [41] Xu, J. and Thomas, C. (2011). How can leaders achieve high employee engagement? *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*. 32. pp. 399-416.